1	BEFORE THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES
2	JACKSON TOWNSHIP, OHIO
3	AMENDMENT NO. 646-20
4	
5	
6	
7	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	The following Board of Trustees Hearing
16	was taken before me, the undersigned, Deanna Gleckler, a
17	Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime
18	Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio,
19	at the Jackson Township Offices, 5735 Wales Avenue, N.W.,
20	Massillon, Ohio, on Tuesday, the 23rd day of February
21	2021, at 5:17 p.m.
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	BOARD OF TRUSTEES
4	TODD J. HAWKE - Chairman
5	JOHN E. PIZZINO - Vice-Chairman
6	JIM THOMAS - Trustee
7	RANDY GONZALEZ - FISCAL OFFICER
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	TNDEDENDENT REDORTING SERVICE

1		
2	MS. POINDEXTER: Okay. So this is	
3	amendment 646-20. The applicant is Dwight Yoder,	
4	P.O. Box 250, Uniontown, Ohio and the property	
5	owner is the Declaration of the Trust Agreement of	
6	Ronald A. Yingling and Wayne P. Yingling, 8151	
7	Athens Avenue, N.E., Massillon, Ohio. The request	
8	is a rezone of parcels 1620887, 1623024, 1602287	
9	and 1602286, consisting of approximately 17.32	
10	acres located on the south side of Stuhldreher,	
11	approximately 720 feet east of Ocala, from RR Rural	
12	Residential District to R-3 Planned Unit	
13	Development District. This matter went before the	
14	Zoning Commission on October 29th of 2020, and the	
15	Zoning Commission recommended approval of the	
16	request with a 4 to 1 decision. And I will turn it	
17	over to the applicant.	
18	MR. RICHINS: Good evening. I am Reed	
19	Richins, architect. Do you need an address?	
20	MR. HAWKE: Yes, please.	
21	MR. RICHINS: 5189 Park Drive, Medina.	
22	MR. VACCARO: Sir, would you please remove	
23	your mask so that she could see you. We do have a	
24	court reporter, so it is imperative that no one	
25	talk over each other and that we give her time to	

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

1	get down your statements accurately. Thank you,
2	sir.
3	MR. RICHINS: Thank you. Well, as Joni
4	related, the progress of this application to this
5	point is that it's been reviewed by Zoning
6	Commission and recommended for approval. That was
7	back in October 29. Prior to that we also met with
8	residents and neighbors from the vicinity and
9	provided answers to questions, and since the Zoning
10	Commission meeting on October 29th, we've
11	considered ways that we might accommodate one or
12	two comments that were made, and so we have made
13	very minor adjustments. We augmented, added some
14	berming, and landscape buffering that I'll show
15	here on this screen. What you're seeing now is
16	what was presented to the Zoning Commission. This
17	slide is with the increased buffering.
18	Specifically that benefits the resident, existing
19	resident adjacent to the emergency drive. It gives
20	her a little bit better screening than she would
21	otherwise have. That was one of the comments that
22	arose both in our neighborhood meeting and the
23	Zoning Commission. We also have a copy of that
24	here that is able to be entered into the record,
25	but that's what we are proposing, is the additional

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

	5
screening, berming and buffering.	
So you have the transcript of the Zoning	
Commission remarks in which we traced the approval	
basis for the project. I will summarize by saying	
that we see it fully in compliance with the intent	
of the comprehensive plan and with zoning. I'll	
emphasize that we're not asking for any variances	
or concessions. We see this as a stand-up proposal	
that in fact answers some of the intent, a lot of	
the intent of the comprehensive plan, and is	
consistent with zoning requirements.	
I would like to point out a couple of other	
elements. Some of these we did bring out in the	
Zoning Commission meeting, but in general, this	

parcel is the only parcel remaining to complete a transition of uses from the high density uses. This might show up on that screen. High density uses R-3 and R-2 to the south and west and to the east. This parcel is the only parcel that is out of sync with the transition from those high density uses to single family and then rural uses to the north and to the east. And so rezoning, as has been recommended by the Zoning Commission to R-3 PUD would complete that transition as envisioned by the comprehensive plan.

## INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

I'll also point out that the access, the street access requirement that's outlined in the comprehensive plan is also met. Stuhldreher Street is designated a major collector on Stark County's Federal Functional Classification of Traffic Ways. and that's a condition of R-3 PUD, and we went into some detail in satisfaction of the evaluation criteria, which I won't go into this evening unless there are questions, but one of the criteria has to do with traffic, and I would like to point out a couple of the items from the traffic analysis. Here's where we see Stuhldreher - I'm sorry. Here - identified as a major collector, and then we did have traffic analysis done. First looking at the sight distances. The sight distances were analyzed by GBC Design, and they found that 500 feet and 430 feet of available sight distance was present on the east and the west respectively. These are considered to be very generous distances for the traffic speeds on Stuhldreher. There was also a traffic analysis done by TMC Engineers, and the conclusions are on this slide. I highlighted, it was their opinion that when the anticipated changes in traffic volumes are of the levels that they identified, the traffic

1	generated by the proposed condominiums should not
2	have an impact on the surrounding street network
3	system. They further concluded the anticipated
4	generated volumes from this development are less
5	than the daily variations in the current volumes on
6	the local roadway network and should not be
7	perceived by the traveling public. To that I'll
8	also add, that the anticipated demographic of
9	residents in this community, we fully expect the
10	majority, although this is not an age limited
11	development, we fully expect the majority of the
12	residents to be seniors, and they are not
13	generating those peak rush hour trips the same way
14	people who are not retired are. So we see this as
15	an additional benefit on traffic.
16	I'd also like to point out that we have had
17	approvals at a preliminary level from Stark County
18	Engineers office, also their drainage engineer, and
19	the preliminary design for all of these systems has
20	been reviewed and endorsed for, at this level, by
21	those public agencies. If there are any questions
22	about the civil engineering component of this
23	project, we have with us this evening Don Walsh,
24	principal civil engineer with GBC Design.

I'd like to address just a couple other

## INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

items. I did mention the expected target m	arket
and national organizations, including the N	AHB, the
National Association of Home Builders, have	done
multiple studies on this demographic and fo	und
great benefits to communities, in that they	are net
producers, they are net positive consumers	in that
they don't utilize school resources, but th	ey pay
full taxes and they contribute to the commu	nity in
monetary and immeasurable ways. It's a ver	у
attractive demographic, and the NAHB study	was very
encouraging to communities including this	
demographic.	
I'd also like to point out - let me	go to
this slide - I think you have the architect	ure in
front of you, presumably in the packet that	was
provided. If not, here's our elevations of	the
building. The front elevation of the two-u	nit
building, here's the floor plan. I will ad	d that
the plans are designed to Fair Housing Stan	dard,
even though they're not required, for two-u	nit or
three-unit buildings. This will enable res	idents
to age in place without having it will e	xtend
the time that a person can age in place in	their
present residence. Here is the triplex ele	vations,
front elevation of the triplex and the floo	r plan.

1	I want to point out, as I mentioned, that			
2	this R-3 PUD allows much greater utilization than			
3	we're proposing. Under the R-3 PUD we would be			
4	allowed 99 units at the allowable six dwelling			
5	units per acre. We're proposing 69 dwelling units,			
6	which works out to about 4.15 dwelling units per			
7	acre. We would be able to build four units per			
8	building. We're proposing two and three to an			
9	average of about two and a quarter units per			
10	building. The setbacks we are more than double in			
11	most cases, and nearly doubled in the other cases.			
12	Even though there's not a minimum open space			
13	requirement for buffering and perimeter			
14	landscaping, we have provided those features as			
15	well. In addition, the minimum floor area is way			
16	beyond the minimum that the R-3 PUD allows.			
17	Finally, I will point out that this is			
18	intended to be a private development. The streets			
19	will be privately installed and maintained. We			
20	make provisions. One result of our meetings with			
21	township staff, as we got input about the			
22	practicalities of snowplowing, and this probably			
23	won't show very well. It won't show at all, but			
24	there's a provision at the end of Bermuda Street			
25	for public works to deposit that street's worth of			

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

1snow in a spot on this property, the development2property, so that they can turn around and resume3their work. They will not the township will not4plow, salt or maintain streets in this development.5when it comes time for those streets to be6maintained, they will be maintained through the7homeowners association and not the cost of the8township.9That's an overview of our proposal. We'd10be happy to entertain any questions and certainly11we respectfully request your favorable vote. Are12there any questions for me?13MR. HAWKE: There won't be any questions at14this point. Is there anybody else with you as an15applicant to present?16MR. RICHINS: Unless you have questions for17thet civil engineer, no.18MR. HAWKE: No presentation. So if that's19that, then we'll go ahead and stop with your20presentation and ask for anybody who wants to speak21in favor of the amendment change. Come on up.22we'll just need your name and address for the23record, please.24MS. YINGLING: I am a yingling and I am in25favor of this because		[	
3their work. They will not the township will not4plow, salt or maintain streets in this development.5when it comes time for those streets to be6maintained, they will be maintained through the7homeowners association and not the cost of the8township.9That's an overview of our proposal. We'd10be happy to entertain any questions and certainly11we respectfully request your favorable vote. Are12there any questions for me?13MR. HAWKE: There won't be any questions at14this point. Is there anybody else with you as an15applicant to present?16MR. RICHINS: Unless you have questions for17thet, then we'll go ahead and stop with your19presentation and ask for anybody who wants to speak21in favor of the amendment change. Come on up.22We'll just need your name and address for the23record, please.24MS. YINGLING: I am a yingling and I am in	1	snow in a spot on this property, the development	
4plow, salt or maintain streets in this development.5when it comes time for those streets to be6maintained, they will be maintained through the7homeowners association and not the cost of the8township.9That's an overview of our proposal. we'd10be happy to entertain any questions and certainly11we respectfully request your favorable vote. Are12there any questions for me?13MR. HAWKE: There won't be any questions at14this point. Is there anybody else with you as an15applicant to present?16MR. RICHINS: Unless you have questions for17the civil engineer, no.18MR. HAWKE: No presentation. So if that's19that, then we'll go ahead and stop with your20presentation and ask for anybody who wants to speak21in favor of the amendment change. Come on up.22we'll just need your name and address for the23record, please.24MS. YINGLING: I am a Yingling and I am in	2	property, so that they can turn around and resume	
5when it comes time for those streets to be6maintained, they will be maintained through the7homeowners association and not the cost of the8township.9That's an overview of our proposal. we'd10be happy to entertain any questions and certainly11we respectfully request your favorable vote. Are12there any questions for me?13MR. HAWKE: There won't be any questions at14this point. Is there anybody else with you as an15applicant to present?16MR. RICHINS: Unless you have questions for17the civil engineer, no.18MR. HAWKE: No presentation. So if that's19that, then we'll go ahead and stop with your20presentation and ask for anybody who wants to speak21in favor of the amendment change. Come on up.22we'll just need your name and address for the23record, please.24MS. YINGLING: I am a Yingling and I am in	3	their work. They will not the township will not	
<ul> <li>maintained, they will be maintained through the</li> <li>homeowners association and not the cost of the</li> <li>township.</li> <li>That's an overview of our proposal. We'd</li> <li>be happy to entertain any questions and certainly</li> <li>we respectfully request your favorable vote. Are</li> <li>there any questions for me?</li> <li>MR. HAWKE: There won't be any questions at</li> <li>this point. Is there anybody else with you as an</li> <li>applicant to present?</li> <li>MR. RICHINS: Unless you have questions for</li> <li>the civil engineer, no.</li> <li>MR. HAWKE: No presentation. So if that's</li> <li>that, then we'll go ahead and stop with your</li> <li>presentation and ask for anybody who wants to speak</li> <li>in favor of the amendment change. Come on up.</li> <li>We'll just need your name and address for the</li> <li>record, please.</li> </ul>	4	plow, salt or maintain streets in this development.	
<ul> <li>homeowners association and not the cost of the</li> <li>township.</li> <li>That's an overview of our proposal. we'd</li> <li>be happy to entertain any questions and certainly</li> <li>we respectfully request your favorable vote. Are</li> <li>there any questions for me?</li> <li>MR. HAWKE: There won't be any questions at</li> <li>this point. Is there anybody else with you as an</li> <li>applicant to present?</li> <li>MR. RICHINS: Unless you have questions for</li> <li>the civil engineer, no.</li> <li>MR. HAWKE: No presentation. So if that's</li> <li>that, then we'll go ahead and stop with your</li> <li>presentation and ask for anybody who wants to speak</li> <li>in favor of the amendment change. Come on up.</li> <li>we'll just need your name and address for the</li> <li>record, please.</li> <li>MS. YINGLING: I am a Yingling and I am in</li> </ul>	5	When it comes time for those streets to be	
<ul> <li>township.</li> <li>That's an overview of our proposal. We'd</li> <li>be happy to entertain any questions and certainly</li> <li>we respectfully request your favorable vote. Are</li> <li>there any questions for me?</li> <li>MR. HAWKE: There won't be any questions at</li> <li>this point. Is there anybody else with you as an</li> <li>applicant to present?</li> <li>MR. RICHINS: Unless you have questions for</li> <li>the civil engineer, no.</li> <li>MR. HAWKE: No presentation. So if that's</li> <li>that, then we'll go ahead and stop with your</li> <li>presentation and ask for anybody who wants to speak</li> <li>in favor of the amendment change. Come on up.</li> <li>we'll just need your name and address for the</li> <li>record, please.</li> <li>MS. YINGLING: I am a Yingling and I am in</li> </ul>	6	maintained, they will be maintained through the	
<ul> <li>9 That's an overview of our proposal. we'd</li> <li>10 be happy to entertain any questions and certainly</li> <li>11 we respectfully request your favorable vote. Are</li> <li>12 there any questions for me?</li> <li>13 MR. HAWKE: There won't be any questions at</li> <li>14 this point. Is there anybody else with you as an</li> <li>15 applicant to present?</li> <li>16 MR. RICHINS: Unless you have questions for</li> <li>17 the civil engineer, no.</li> <li>18 MR. HAWKE: No presentation. So if that's</li> <li>19 that, then we'll go ahead and stop with your</li> <li>20 presentation and ask for anybody who wants to speak</li> <li>21 in favor of the amendment change. Come on up.</li> <li>22 we'll just need your name and address for the</li> <li>23 record, please.</li> <li>24 MS. YINGLING: I am a Yingling and I am in</li> </ul>	7	homeowners association and not the cost of the	
<ul> <li>be happy to entertain any questions and certainly</li> <li>we respectfully request your favorable vote. Are</li> <li>there any questions for me?</li> <li>MR. HAWKE: There won't be any questions at</li> <li>this point. Is there anybody else with you as an</li> <li>applicant to present?</li> <li>MR. RICHINS: Unless you have questions for</li> <li>the civil engineer, no.</li> <li>MR. HAWKE: No presentation. So if that's</li> <li>that, then we'll go ahead and stop with your</li> <li>presentation and ask for anybody who wants to speak</li> <li>in favor of the amendment change. Come on up.</li> <li>We'll just need your name and address for the</li> <li>record, please.</li> <li>MS. YINGLING: I am a Yingling and I am in</li> </ul>	8	township.	
11       we respectfully request your favorable vote. Are         12       there any questions for me?         13       MR. HAWKE: There won't be any questions at         14       this point. Is there anybody else with you as an         15       applicant to present?         16       MR. RICHINS: Unless you have questions for         17       the civil engineer, no.         18       MR. HAWKE: No presentation. So if that's         19       that, then we'll go ahead and stop with your         20       presentation and ask for anybody who wants to speak         21       in favor of the amendment change. Come on up.         22       we'll just need your name and address for the         23       record, please.         24       MS. YINGLING: I am a Yingling and I am in	9	That's an overview of our proposal. We'd	
there any questions for me? MR. HAWKE: There won't be any questions at this point. Is there anybody else with you as an applicant to present? MR. RICHINS: Unless you have questions for the civil engineer, no. MR. HAWKE: No presentation. So if that's that, then we'll go ahead and stop with your presentation and ask for anybody who wants to speak in favor of the amendment change. Come on up. We'll just need your name and address for the record, please. MS. YINGLING: I am a Yingling and I am in	10	be happy to entertain any questions and certainly	
13       MR. HAWKE: There won't be any questions at         14       this point. Is there anybody else with you as an         15       applicant to present?         16       MR. RICHINS: Unless you have questions for         17       the civil engineer, no.         18       MR. HAWKE: No presentation. So if that's         19       that, then we'll go ahead and stop with your         20       presentation and ask for anybody who wants to speak         21       in favor of the amendment change. Come on up.         22       We'll just need your name and address for the         23       record, please.         24       MS. YINGLING: I am a Yingling and I am in	11	we respectfully request your favorable vote. Are	
14 this point. Is there anybody else with you as an 15 applicant to present? 16 MR. RICHINS: Unless you have questions for 17 the civil engineer, no. 18 MR. HAWKE: No presentation. So if that's 19 that, then we'll go ahead and stop with your 20 presentation and ask for anybody who wants to speak 21 in favor of the amendment change. Come on up. 22 We'll just need your name and address for the 23 record, please. 24 MS. YINGLING: I am a Yingling and I am in	12	there any questions for me?	
<ul> <li>applicant to present?</li> <li>MR. RICHINS: Unless you have questions for</li> <li>the civil engineer, no.</li> <li>MR. HAWKE: No presentation. So if that's</li> <li>that, then we'll go ahead and stop with your</li> <li>presentation and ask for anybody who wants to speak</li> <li>in favor of the amendment change. Come on up.</li> <li>we'll just need your name and address for the</li> <li>record, please.</li> <li>MS. YINGLING: I am a Yingling and I am in</li> </ul>	13	MR. HAWKE: There won't be any questions at	
<ul> <li>MR. RICHINS: Unless you have questions for</li> <li>the civil engineer, no.</li> <li>MR. HAWKE: No presentation. So if that's</li> <li>that, then we'll go ahead and stop with your</li> <li>presentation and ask for anybody who wants to speak</li> <li>in favor of the amendment change. Come on up.</li> <li>we'll just need your name and address for the</li> <li>record, please.</li> <li>MS. YINGLING: I am a Yingling and I am in</li> </ul>	14	this point. Is there anybody else with you as an	
17 the civil engineer, no. 18 MR. HAWKE: No presentation. So if that's 19 that, then we'll go ahead and stop with your 20 presentation and ask for anybody who wants to speak 21 in favor of the amendment change. Come on up. 22 We'll just need your name and address for the 23 record, please. 24 MS. YINGLING: I am a Yingling and I am in	15	applicant to present?	
<ul> <li>MR. HAWKE: No presentation. So if that's</li> <li>that, then we'll go ahead and stop with your</li> <li>presentation and ask for anybody who wants to speak</li> <li>in favor of the amendment change. Come on up.</li> <li>we'll just need your name and address for the</li> <li>record, please.</li> <li>MS. YINGLING: I am a Yingling and I am in</li> </ul>	16	MR. RICHINS: Unless you have questions for	
19 that, then we'll go ahead and stop with your 20 presentation and ask for anybody who wants to speak 21 in favor of the amendment change. Come on up. 22 we'll just need your name and address for the 23 record, please. 24 MS. YINGLING: I am a Yingling and I am in	17	the civil engineer, no.	
20 presentation and ask for anybody who wants to speak 21 in favor of the amendment change. Come on up. 22 we'll just need your name and address for the 23 record, please. 24 MS. YINGLING: I am a Yingling and I am in	18	MR. HAWKE: No presentation. So if that's	
<ul> <li>21 in favor of the amendment change. Come on up.</li> <li>22 we'll just need your name and address for the</li> <li>23 record, please.</li> <li>24 MS. YINGLING: I am a Yingling and I am in</li> </ul>	19	that, then we'll go ahead and stop with your	
<ul> <li>22 We'll just need your name and address for the</li> <li>23 record, please.</li> <li>24 MS. YINGLING: I am a Yingling and I am in</li> </ul>	20	presentation and ask for anybody who wants to speak	
<ul> <li>23 record, please.</li> <li>24 MS. YINGLING: I am a Yingling and I am in</li> </ul>	21	in favor of the amendment change. Come on up.	
24 MS. YINGLING: I am a Yingling and I am in	22	we'll just need your name and address for the	
	23	record, please.	
25 favor of this because	24	MS. YINGLING: I am a Yingling and I am in	
	25	favor of this because	

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

1	MR. HAWKE: We need your name and address,
2	not just that you're a Yingling. We've got to have
3	a full name and address for the record.
4	MS. YINGLING: Okay. I am Patricia
5	Yingling. I am married to Wayne, one of the
6	owners. I want this to pass because I am one of
7	the seniors that doesn't want to live in a big
8	house anymore. I want a condo. I want to live in
9	Jackson Township, and there aren't a lot of condos.
10	I mean for us seniors that want to be someplace
11	that we want to stay for the rest of our lives, we
12	want a place that's nice. This is very nice. And
13	it's not just because I'm married to one of the
14	owners. It's very nice, and I'm sure a lot of
15	people will like it. A lot of people that are
16	seniors that want out of their big two-story home
17	and want to go somewhere else. So I'm in favor of
18	it. Not just because I'm a Yingling; because I'm a
19	senior that wants out of a two-story house. So
20	that's why I'm in favor of it. Thank you.
21	MR. HAWKE: Thank you very much. Anyone
22	else to speak in favor?
23	MR. VACCARO: Folks, if you would please
24	remove your mask when you get up to the podium.
25	Thank you.

INDEPENDENT	REPORTING	SERVICE
(330)	332-DEPO	(3376)

MR. ESBER: Good evening. My name's Mark
Esber. I'm the real estate broker that has the
17.20 acres listed for sale. My address is 1762
Turnberry Circle, N.W., Canton, Ohio, 44708. I've
been in the real estate business for 35 years.
I've represented many developers, both in Stark
County and nationwide. Dwight Yoder is one of the
most professional and honest developers I've come
across. He's done his due diligence, complied with
your rules and regulations, and actually has
filtered down his development below what your
requirements are. He's done his due diligence on
drainage, traffic analysis.
The big picture here in Stark County is we
have a shortage of inventory. Each one of these
condominiums that will be sold will provide a
resale home for another family to purchase. Prices
are rising. We don't want to get into a situation
like California, Chicago, Washington D.C. area.
Affordability's very important. I just sold a
condominium in Glenmoor, the real estate taxes,
\$5,000. Four to \$5,000 for each one of these
condos will go to help fund schools and the public
infrastructure here in Jackson Township.
Condominium owners are great neighbors

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

1 2

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

1	because condominium owners live in a controlled		
2	environment. You're not going to be parking RVs		
3	and boats and working on cars outside of the		
4	condominium development. So it's a controlled		
5	environment. And typically these are 55 and older,		
6	and they make for great neighbors. So I'm in favor		
7	of this beyond my business involvement and interest		
8	in this, because it is needed in Jackson Township,		
9	and the condominium association, they will be great		
10	neighbors. Thank you for your consideration and		
11	approval.		
12	MR. HAWKE: Before anybody else goes, I		
13	just asked Mr. Vaccaro to go out. We're going to		
14	have you guys come up to the microphone and take		
15	your mask off, so I asked him to get wipes so we		
16	take that foam thing off the microphone there, and		
17	we'll wipe that down for each person coming up		
18	since we're having folks with their masks off. As		
19	soon as he comes back, we'll go forward. Seems		
20	like we're getting somewhere in the numbers with		
21	the COVID thing so I'm going to make sure we try to		
22	keep that down on our side.		
23	MR. PIZZINO: Can the people outside in the		
24	hall hear?		
25	MR. VACCARO: Yeah, they can hear.		

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

14

1	
1	MR. PIZZINO: All right.
2	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Thank you.
3	MR. HAWKE: Each person when you come up,
4	just wipe it down for yourself.
5	(Off the record discussion was held)
6	MR. HAWKE: Anyone else in favor to speak?
7	MS. POINDEXTER: Anybody out there?
8	MR. HAWKE: Yeah, anybody in the hallway to
9	speak in favor?
10	MR. VACCARO: Nobody.
11	MR. HAWKE: Hearing none, we'll close that
12	section of the hearing and we'll move to anyone
13	who'd like to speak against the amendment.
14	MR. VACCARO: I want to do a rotation.
15	Those who spoke in favor, could you give up your
16	seats so we can move some folks in that want to
17	speak in opposition. Trade seats, please.
18	(Off the record discussion was held)
19	MR. HAWKE: We'll go ahead and move forward
20	then. So anyone who wants to speak in opposition,
21	we'll just have you come forward. Grab a Mike
22	just wiped that down, so I don't think you need to
23	do it this time.
24	MR. JULIAN: That's good. Jeff Julian. I
25	live at 7520 Stuhldreher, and our home is actually

REPORTING SERVICE 332-DEPO (3376)

which is fine, but there's going to be a lot more traffic. They don't even have them filled up yet.

1	the one where the drive into Stuhldreher will go.
2	Also, I'm the executor of the family trust, Julian
3	Family Trust at 7291, which is down the street,
4	which is across from the other multi-family condo,
5	duplex, triplex. They didn't get a triplex in
6	there, but major concerns. Number one,
7	Stuhldreher, you know, Stuhldreher is, as John
8	says, very heavily stressed right now. And I'm not
9	sure what type of traffic things that they did with
10	it, but I can tell you living on that for 32 years
11	and growing up on the farm for another fifteen, it
12	has grown immensely, you know, there's a reason in
13	our community, in our county, that everyone you
14	talk to, I don't care if they're from Canton, I
15	don't care if they're from Green, Akron, Massillon,
16	Perry, they all know what Stuhldreher is
17	Stuhldreher is a connector, and since the property
18	that was donated well, not donated, sold to the
19	township for Stuhldreher roads and the connection
20	of Perry, blocking off Stuhldreher from Hills &
21	Dales, has become a racetrack, and there's been
22	numerous accidents as far as going off the road.
23	Personally, I've lost three mailboxes in the past
24	ten years. My next-door neighbor almost got he
25	almost got killed, but their house was hit.

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

16

They're flying on that road, and it's a very small road, you know, in actuality, Stuhldreher, you know, from the point that it gets onto Stuhldreher is about a mile long. And as they go up or they come down, they're moving. And it's not that big of a road. I'm not quite sure what the plans for Stuhldreher is in the future, but obviously it's a very well used road. Now, we've already got established eight acres that Ryan Homes were put in, and they took that from RR, which is property that the township sold after they got the Stuhldreher field, which they got a very nice price for, which is fine, because originally that was supposed to be part of the parks. They were supposed to put a bridge overtop of it and use both of them, but with it being sold as RR, which went for a pretty good

price, it worked out very well for the township and it sat that way for a number of years. Now, exactly how it got from RR to R-3 in a very short period of time, I'm not quite sure, but it did and passed, and Ryan Homes are in there. They're decent looking. I mean they're Ryan Homes,

1	They're building duplexes right now with only half
2	of them filled so they can build their homes. So
3	as that gets going on, that will increase the
4	traffic as well.
5	So then you come along with a proposition
6	such as this. You're looking at 69 units. And I
7	will say this. It was brought up in the Zoning
8	Commission by several people, that, you know, that
9	amount of condos, especially the triplexes, I think
10	is sort of uncalled for. I mean you're fitting in
11	a lot of living space in a small area and, you
12	know, I'm not a hater of the condos. I fully
13	understand what they're presenting as far as they
14	will maintain it themselves. Fair enough. But
15	along with that, I think when we look at triplexes
16	versus duplexes, and it's the same thing, call it a
17	condo, call it a duplex, call it a triplex.
18	There's no change. I mean before the meeting there
19	was going to be change, it was suggested by at
20	least three members prior to the vote, that they
21	wanted that to be taken a look at, and not just the
22	buffering part. I mean you're only going to lose
23	seven units by going just to, you know, a duplex,
24	or attached condos. I think the plan, it doesn't
25	fit. I think personally this is well overdone.

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

The other part of it is and, you know, there's one entrance. there's one exit. and I can assure you there's people from Bradford Farms as well, you know, once that happens and with the speed of the traffic that's coming and the density of the traffic and the density of the people that are there, you say senior living, you know, 55 and up. Heck, I'm 60 years old. I'm moving at all times. And so will these people. These are supposedly going to be \$320,00, \$325,000 condos. Great. You know, I sit in a place. 32 years ago I bought a swimming pool for 69,5 and it came with a house. So I'm not sure it's really going to hurt my value of my home and I don't really plan on leaving, but I have great concerns as far as how the street can. or how Stuhldreher can accommodate what's going on and, you know, for instance like Bradford Farms, they're going to have issues. People are going to go through that allotment and they will go through it continuously. We have issues on Stuhldreher. It's not big enough, and I don't think it's big enough to accommodate that. Now, what happens when that happens? I don't know if you guys have a plan for that or not, but, you know, the more condos we put in, the more R-1 that

1	as 7291 that we built, in that direction, or
2	condos, it's going to increase the traffic and I
3	don't think we're set up for it right now.
4	I mean I've said before in the last meeting
5	that, you know, R-1's not bad, I know you don't get
6	as many homes in there, but at the same time, at
7	least you have the people, and I fully understand
8	that it's very attractive to the township of
9	Jackson by having the roads done and they're taking
10	care of everything and they're going to get these
11	big tax dollars. But one of the things that they
12	have mentioned about, it's not senior living with
13	55 and over, so how many 55 and over is there going
14	to be? Is there going to be 35? I know a lot of
15	35 year olds today that are going to love to do
16	that. That's fine. So what are you going to
17	classify it as; 55 and older, senior living, or
18	just open to people that want to buy a condo? And,
19	you know, I don't think you can differentiate, you
20	know, who's going to buy what, unless you classify
21	it as that way.
22	The only one way in and one way out, you
23	have other ways out. You can go right through that
24	street right there back by Daytona. What is that,
25	Buford or something like that? I mean they're

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

going to use it as a maintenance road. Well, you
just have another area for people to get out of
that if you put something like that in, so they can
go through. And I don't see a problem with that.
If you want to have neighbors, be neighborly, open
up that road as well to make sure that we don't
have issues right on Stuhldreher, because we will.
There's no doubt about it.
I don't know how long it's going to take
them to build this, which is another factor, or how
long it's going to take them to sell their units.
I know for a fact that the duplexes over off Julian
Street, they're having a hard time selling them.
Now, will they sell them? They probably will. But
then at the same time, how long is this build going
to last and what's going to happen by the time it's
all set up and we have people coming in and people
going out? We're facing Bradford Farms. I can
tell you my wife and I, we struggle getting out of
our own driveway with Bradford Farms there. It's
doable, but to throw, change something from RR to
R-3, I don't think it's good for the township. I
truly don't.
You know, it's a small piece of land, but
now we're trying to populate it, and every one of

1	those places, 69 units, they're going to have two
2	cars. You do the math. And there's going to be
3	people coming in and out of those places
4	constantly. And until some type of resolution for
5	Stuhldreher itself, I don't think it's a go. And I
6	haven't seen any changes what was recommended by
7	the Zoning Board before. So with that being said,
8	you know, like I said, I've lived there a long
9	time, and yes, I'm right at the entrance, so it's
10	not really going to impact it because I'm there,
11	that I'm upset about. We've known for years
12	something was going to go back through there.
13	We've used the driveway. We've had a gravel
14	driveway. If I would have known all the way back
15	then it would be 32 years till somebody tried to
16	use that property, I can assure you I would have
17	cemented it. But now my driveway theory, you know,
18	comes to fruition. Other than that, you know, I
19	object to it. I just don't see that the company is
20	presenting, and I'm not even sure if this company's
21	ever even done condos before. I know they talked
22	before about doing homes. I'm not sure if this is
23	their first go at it or if it's something they do
24	all the time.
25	And the other concern about the condos, so

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

1	many times, there's a company up in Akron, but they
2	made a great, a really nice living of building
3	condos and then renting them out by keeping
4	possession of those condos. And that was also
5	mentioned in the minutes from the Zoning
6	Commission. I think it's a bad idea. I really do.
7	I think something has to be done, because there's
8	more property that's looking to be put homes on, or
9	condos, it seems like the R-3 is a good way to go
10	right now. We always thought R-1 would be the best
11	way to, you know, come from RR, sort of like a
12	step. Yes, there is apartments there, yes, off
13	Daytona and off the other road there are duplexes
14	and they've been there a long time. And if you're
15	going to use that prior to zoning to be able to
16	have something from RR to R-3, I disagree, and I
17	just don't I don't think it's ready right now.
18	I don't think Stuhldreher can handle the stress
19	that's going to be put on it by these condos. And
20	I've often said, Hey, RR to R-1, I think it's a
21	good way of doing it, but I don't think the plan
22	that's being presented is anywhere near what they
23	could do for it, to really make it work, not only
24	for themselves, but also the neighbors such as
25	myself, neighbors across the street, neighbors to

	my right, neighbors to my left.
	We've got a nice area here and we've got to
	be careful in what we're going to put in. Yeah, it
	looks fancy, yeah, they're going to take care of
	everything, as they say today. Yes, these are
	going to be \$325,000 condos. That's a big number,
	you know, and we've seen changes, you know, Troy
	and I, we've seen changes at the other place that
	you guys granted for R-3 and fortunately for my
	brother Troy, he kept an eye on it because when
	they started to do the triplexes, we called Joni
	and basically told them what was going on, but they
	were going to sneak that through. And when they
	snuck it through, they would probably pay some kind
	of fine, but they would continue to go forward. So
	it's so easy to manipulate something of this
	density to be able to do that. And I think they
	just need to be laid out. I don't think they've
	actually come to this Board with everything that
	was talked about at the Zoning, and there's no
	change, you know, a buffer. That's not a buffer.
	You've got residents that have lived in that area
	for many years, such as myself and we've got
	triplexes right there, you know, enough with the
	triplex, you know. I think the condo is a stretch
l	

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

in itself, but, no, I don't think they've met the
criteria of the Zoning Board, that said they should
be coming back into it, and I don't think they
should have been recommended for passage from the
Zoning Board. There was actually three of them
that was in agreement and one at the end, is the
only one that voted against it, and he was the
architect. And some of the things they were
talking about, that they originally were going to
have condos, they were going to have stone. Now
it's vinyl, you know, so there's a lot of things
that have been unsaid that have been talked about
at the Zoning that need to be addressed, and I
don't think they've met that criteria. I truly
don't. Other than that, as I go through this
thing, there's a few points that my wife, who did
such a good job. Betsey, was there anything else
you wanted me to mention? You sure? She's my
boss.
MR. PIZZINO: You've got a thumbs up. You
better quit while you're ahead.
MR. JULIAN: She's my boss. But thank you.
I appreciate the time. Been here a long time, been
in Jackson, love Jackson, but I think it's up to
everyone, you know, you guys are sitting here

1	making a tough decision, but it's everyone that
2	lives around to make sure you know how we feel, you
3	know, we've been taxpayers for a long time. We've
4	lived on that street a long time. We're proud of
5	that street. Yes, it's changed, but any further
6	change I think really has to be put under the
7	microscope and looked at, because you're jumping.
8	You're jumping from RR to R-3, and that's a pretty
9	big jump in my book, especially when you denied
10	change to R-1. So I think going from here, things
11	have to be rectified, and I don't think they met
12	their obligation of what the Zoning Committee had
13	said. Thank you so much.
14	MR. HAWKE: Thank you. Anyone else to
15	speak against? Come on up.
16	MS. KUNKLE: I did speak at the last
17	meeting, so you have my notes from that. My name's
18	Amy Kunkle. My residence address is 9077
19	Traphagen. However, I do own the 5.41 acre parcel
20	that is shown in the bottom left of the map. So I
21	want to start with a couple of facts and figures.
22	Maybe this can be interactive for all of you on the
23	Trustee Board. \$732,900, does that ring a bell?
24	Anybody? No. It was the amount recently that was
25	passed to pay for the expansion of Wales, the
	INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE

1	widening of the streets, and I want to point out
2	that this is one of those indirect connections that
3	rezoning is causing additional money from
4	taxpayers. So you may say that it's not, you know,
5	affecting the traffic, but it obviously is, because
6	we're having to widen roads, et cetera.
7	The second thing I want to point out,
8	because you do mention the comprehensive plan, it's
9	in the comprehensive plan the number 1 vision and
10	goal was to establish a program to purchase
11	existing land to preserve open space, and I would
12	like to draw your attention to the map that
13	indicates opportunity zones. K, the letter K as in
14	Kunkle, which is my last name, is actually this
15	property. That was an opportunity zone for Jackson
16	to purchase in 2007 when this comprehensive plan
17	was done and preserve it as open space.
18	So and then, you know, lastly, we have I
19	have kids in I've had kids in all the Jackson,
20	elementary, middle and high school, and back in
21	2007 when the comprehensive plan was done, our
22	schools were at 100% capacity, and we did do the
23	high school expansion right there as well, but all
24	of the additional housing specifically brought on
25	by rezoning is causing ripple effects in not only
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	our schools, not only our roads, but it's affecting
2	our natural resources, our water. I won't go down
3	all the paths that it's affecting. But definitely
4	not opposed to development, not opposed to growth
5	in our township, but rezoning, especially like
6	Mr. Julian stated, from RR to R-3, I really would
7	recommend that you not keep approving these. So
8	thank you very much.
9	MR. HAWKE: Anyone else want to speak
10	against the amendment?
11	MR. Nauve: Hi. John Nauve. My address,
12	747 Cheverton Circle, N.W. I guess I'm
13	representing Bradford Farms Development. And
14	again, I think echoed some of the questions or some
15	of the sentiments that Jeff indicated, concern
16	would be increased traffic. I believe Stuhldreher
17	right now is stressed with traffic right now, and I
18	think there would be an additional burden on
19	Stuhldreher with this development and then an
20	additional 69 units. I imagine it's going to be
21	mainly 55 and over, but, again, you'll see
22	increased traffic with garbage collection, with
23	Amazon, Fed Ex deliveries that you're going to see
24	coming in and out. I've lived in Bradford Farms
25	since '98, and Piperglen is the main entrance into

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

Bradford Farms, you know, we walk our dog
religiously every day, and we see people flying
through there as, you know, like Jeff mentioned, it
is a racetrack, and there is a stop sign there that
people blow through. So our concern is the fact
that Bradford Farms has been around since '95,
we're getting new families coming in, younger
families, there's going to be younger kids on
Piperglen, my concern is for their safety. So,
again, I don't want to go into I think the main
concern right now is, for myself, is additional
traffic on Stuhldreher and going into our
development itself. Thank you.
MR. HAWKE: Thank you. Anyone else to
speak against the rezone?
MR. JULIAN: Hello. Troy Julian, 3110
Kennesaw Circle, N.W., Canton, Ohio. I ain't going
to they pretty much picked everything out, but
after the Zoning meeting I did talk to some of the
Zoning, Jeff my brother did bring it up, where the
homes on Stuhldreher where my brother lives, Benny
Stuhldreher live, I said, Why would you take and
put triplexes against the people that live there?
Why don't you put them in the middle or something,
you know, you're like and they all agreed.

1	They're like, well, after the meeting they said to
2	me first that I'll bring them back and we want to
3	have a special meeting, and they said, Well, the
4	township will handle that. They'll definitely want
5	to change that. I said, Well, why did you vote for
6	that? As Jeff said, there's like three of them,
7	you know, they're all, yeah, that needs to be
8	fixed, but they passed it on because I believe that
9	they just want to move on with their lives. Let's
10	get this over with.
11	Another concern, since the fire
12	department's here, is that two roads on Stuhldreher
13	going in - I'm sorry - that connects there? I'm
14	kind of lost. I know the main one by my brother.
15	Down lower, is this a road that turns in? Somebody
16	help me with that.
17	MR. HAWKE: We'll have them address your
18	question when they come back up.
19	MR. JULIAN: That's good. Okay. And also
20	the service entrance
21	MR. HAWKE: You mean the one that's kind of
22	red down at the bottom?
23	MR. JULIAN: Right here on Stuhldreher
24	going this way.
25	MR. HAWKE: The first one you come to?
	INDEDENDENT REPORTING SERVICE

MR. JULIAN: Yes. And the main one I guess Jeff would say. So to me that connects for the fire department hazard, it goes -- so if little Bobby falls down in that hole after my brother's house and they can't get through to a fire down there, then we have this surface road, correct? Okay. Why is that not a full road? Well, we all know why. You don't want people flowing through there because there's already older four-unit. I grew up here. I rode my bicycle back there. That should be a road. And the reason they don't want it, because they ain't going to be able to sell them. And to go back to another question for these guys, they're showing condos ranch style. Now, I had a discussion with Joni, because across from our farm when they made this whole thing up and you guys approved it, they said everything has to be point, down to trees, down to everything. The next thing you know, across the street from the farm, they're building two-stories. So please help me. You guys identify. Are they going to be able to go in and make two-stories like they did down off of Julian Street and Stuhldreher? If you go down there now, they were supposed to be all

	REPORTING SERVICE
(330)	332-DEPO (3376)

1	ranches, and now there's like half of them are
2	two-stories because they're easier to sell. They
3	tried to put in triplexes. So that's another
4	question for these guys I wish they'd answer.
5	Guaranteed, as Joni told me later, Oh, you can do a
6	two-story. I was like, Well, I don't recall that
7	in the meeting. So I just wanted to bring that up,
8	so there ain't a bunch of two-stories popping up.
9	But, again, back to the triplexes against the
10	people that lived in this township, especially
11	Benny Stuhldreher, I'm going to bring Benny up, his
12	family's the one they named it after, and they're
13	jamming a triplex up in his back yard. I think
14	that's the most horrible thing I've ever seen, and
15	at the last meeting Zoning they said, Oh, yeah,
16	they'll change that. Well, here we are again and
17	no change.
18	So that's it. I want to know about the
19	fire department, I think that should be a main road
20	coming from the off back off I'm sorry, I
21	grew up there, but not the road that's kind of a
22	special road, that should be a full road. That's
23	part of our township. And the only reason they do
24	not want that is because it's not a nice area.
25	How's that sound to you? And so that needs to be

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

3	з	
5		

1	the park, they come ripping around the corner and
2	first place they can turn off is right into my back
3	yard. So I appreciate your time. Thanks so much.
4	MR. HAWKE: Anyone else to speak against
5	the rezone? Anyone?
6	MR. VACCARO: Ask about anyone out there.
7	MR. HAWKE: Anyone out there?
8	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: They said it all.
9	MS. POINDEXTER: They said they said it
10	all.
11	MR. HAWKE: All right. So now we'll close
12	this section of the hearing for opposition and
13	we'll hear from the applicant if you want to rebut
14	or answer any of the questions.
15	MR. RICHINS: Reed Richins again,
16	architect. We'd be happy to respond to questions
17	if they could be restated, please.
18	MR. HAWKE: So the first question was, I
19	think the biggest question that I got out of the
20	group from that is the, what would be the bottom
21	road coming off of Stuhldreher that's in the red,
22	in that space?
23	MR. RICHINS: There?
24	MR. HAWKE: There. Is that a full access
25	road or what's the intention of that?

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

1	MR. RICHINS: That is not a full access
2	road. It's an emergency access road. It's
3	protected by a gate right here, which has a knox
4	lock, so it would be for emergency vehicles only.
5	We could get John Walsh to address this, but it's
6	our understanding that the county would not approve
7	two drives in such close proximity. So that's the
8	intent, and the rationale.
9	MR. HAWKE: And I think the other question
10	was on that particular piece that it appears that
11	it's not a paved access road, it is a gravel access
12	road; is that correct?
13	MR. RICHINS: That same road that we're
14	talking about?
15	MR. HAWKE: Uh-huh.
16	MR. RICHINS: That's correct, it's a gravel
17	road, because its intent is only to be, to provide
18	emergency vehicle use.
19	MR. HAWKE: Is there anything else you
20	wanted to address?
21	MR. PIZZINO: I have a question
22	MR. HAWKE: Go ahead.
23	MR. PIZZINO: Did you tell, at the last
24	zoning hearing, that this was going to be a stone
25	front and it changed to vinyl?
	INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE

earlier presentation that the setbacks that we

provided are way in excess of those required by the

shown, it has stone on the front. If I could put
them back up here, it would help me.
MR. PIZZINO: There's stone in the front
only?
MR. RICHINS: Right. It turns the corner,
but the majority of the sides and the rear are
vinyl. The only error was my error, which was
corrected at that meeting, that the sides and the
rear are vinyl. In fact, I think I had mentioned
fiber cement, but they were always going to be
siding, but they're a high quality vinyl rather
than fiber cement, and that correction was made at
the meeting. The front was always going to be
stone and remains stone.
MR. PIZZINO: And when you laid this out,
and again, I live in an R-3 development, my last
two homes, and I have no problems with it. It's
great. We have one trash person, we have one yard
person. It's picked up on the same day. There's a
lot of benefits. It's got to fit also. And when

MR. RICHINS: We did not make a change. As

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

my development was made, it was there before any of

the houses in the allotment. I really don't have a

big concern, but I do have a concern when you put

the layout, you know, with the quality units there,

why did you put the three-units in single-family
residents' back yards? If you look if you're
looking at your map, you've got 3, 6, and single
families in front, but if you turn the corner and
it touches. Now, I don't have a problem back where
our park is with the twelve units in the back, when
there's nothing there, but I guess I have a little
concern having those why don't you just put the
two-units there instead of the three-units?
MR. RICHINS: Well, there's clearly some
subjective elements here, but I will point out a
couple things. There's two instances that you may
be speaking of. We have a triplex here and we have
another one here.
MR. PIZZINO: Right.
MR. RICHINS: This location has the largest
setback anywhere on the property, and that has been
intended to provide that existing resident with as
much as possible privacy and separation. It also
has the largest berm, which will make it the
tallest berm on the property. The other location
has probably the second largest berm and probably
the second greatest setback. Again, you saw in the
the second greatest seconds. Again, you saw in the

1	Zoning. So we have endeavored to provide as much
2	as possible privacy and separation for the existing
3	residents. The fact that it's a three-unit rather
4	than a two-unit seems to have, to me, seems to have
5	less impact on protection of their privacy and
6	views than the provision of the berms, the
7	landscaping, and simply the distance of separation.
8	So those are some of the elements that come into
9	play in the placement of the two building types.
10	MR. PIZZINO: I don't know if I agree with
11	you, but I guess back in the back here, this is
12	where our park is, correct, Mrs. Poindexter?
13	MS. POINDEXTER: Yes.
14	MR. PIZZINO: Why wouldn't you move those
15	three-units back there? Then you wouldn't have to
16	worry about setback.
17	MR. HAWKE: Where are you pointing,
18	Mr. Pizzino?
19	MR. RICHINS: All the way in the back.
20	MR. HAWKE: No. That's on top.
21	MS. POINDEXTER: No, that's on the other
22	side. It's on top.
23	MR. PIZZINO: Oh, okay. It's still going
24	to look the same way. Why don't you move them
25	there? Get them out of the single family
	INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

1	residential area's back yard, put the two-units
2	there, give them a little bit more space and then
3	put the four-units there and put the three-units in
4	the back? Okay. I got my answer.
5	MR. HAWKE: I'm going to give you a
6	different question. I've looked at this a number
7	of times and I'm not sure again, I'm going to
8	back up for a second before I start that comment.
9	I don't get hung up on R-3, R-1, R-2 or any of the
10	R stuff, because I've had this conversation with
11	Ms. Poindexter before. Those tell you what the
12	minimums are, right, what your size of lots have to
13	be, all those kind of things. You can make an R-3
14	that looks like an R-1, if you want.
15	MR. RICHINS: That's true.
16	MR. HAWKE: Correct? So that's part of my
17	process. I don't get hung up on whether it's R-3
18	or R-1. I look at what's the design, because in
19	R-1 you don't have to show us. All you have to
20	tell me is I'm going to go with single family
21	R-1's, it's going to be this lot size, that fits,
22	this is the number of units, lots that will be
23	there, and I can't change what you're I mean
24	you're going to have to go through the regulations
25	and things for the roads. So I'm a bigger fan of
	INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE

the PUD part that goes with it, because then you've
got to present this to me and we've got to see it
and the residents are going to actually see what
you've got there, so they can actually get an idea
of what's going in as opposed to just this is a
zone change to a different classification. But
what I've really struggled with, is at the bottom
of that page, there are three roads, but only one
has anything done to it. All along the bottom
there, those three roads, I see Daytona, Bermuda,
and Payton, all three are there. Two of them,
Daytona and Payton, are left as stub roads, and
Daytona in my is really a struggle for me. It
dead-ends into someone's back yard. If someone
hits that space and hits the wrong pedal in a car
or isn't paying attention, they're in their back
door.
So my questions aren't necessarily around
traffic flow, other things like that; it's around
safety of the people that are going to live there
as well. So I have a real concern with that,
because I know you're going there with a knox box
and I'm fine with the safety access and the knox
box location, I get that space, I get that idea.

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

I'm perfectly okay with that as long as the police

and fire guys are alright, if they can get in and
out of there, but, again, I appreciate the
opportunity you gave putting the space for the snow
removal going in there, because that's a really
tough situation for our guys. I've really got to
thank Mr. Rohn and the public works folks for all
of the work they did over the last few weeks with
everything that's happened with the snow. And to
have a place to put it is always a tough challenge.
I mean that's one of our biggest issues, when you
get into cul-de-sacs or you get into dead-ends,
where am I going to put this stuff? No one wants
it piled up in their front yard, right, but if
you've got ten inches of snow, it's got to go
somewhere.
So with the other two, I don't see this.
So it's either going to go in people's yards or
it's going to get piled up into the buffer you
created, or we're going to damage the buffer
shoveling the snow into it and we're going to have
an issue to deal with, but the bigger one for me
is, both of those, those streets are emptying right
into people's soon to be homes. That's a struggle
for me. I really do appreciate the condo idea, the
need for that type of housing in the township. I

1	get that. I've spent a good bit of time through
2	some of the work in Strengthening Stark talking to
3	folks about that missing little housing and the
4	types of housing that are missing, and that's all
5	true. I believe that 100%. But I've got to look
6	at how it's with a safety issue for me. I mean you
7	can look back at any of these hearings that I've
8	gone through and 99% of my comments revolve around
9	safety. They always do, because that's what's
10	important to me. So for me when I look at this,
11	you know, I hear the folks talk about speeding and
12	those issues and you've got to tell us those and
13	we'll have extra controls that will monitor those.
14	You can't stop it. I mean let's be honest, we've
15	all tried, you know, people just do things the way
16	they want to do them.
17	The traffic issues, there are certain
18	regulations we have to look at what we can and
19	can't abide by on the traffic side, and we have to
20	take the engineers who know what they're talking
21	about in the traffic space to look at what's going
22	on there, but for me, the issues that I need
23	addressed that I don't see being addressed,
24	Mr. Pizzino talked about one, which is the triplex
25	issue. I think there's some opportunity to look at

1	that. Two, I'm not really, when I'm looking at
2	this in front of the neighbors that have lived
3	there and have a gravel road, I'm also concerned
4	about that. It's an emergency access and you're
5	leaving it gravel. Snows like this, you've got to
6	get it cleared out if an emergency is going to
7	access it. I would think if it was asphalt, it
8	would be easier to clean. You can put that knox
9	box, you can put that gate anywhere along there.
10	So there's some thought there I think needs to go
11	into that. And I really think there has to be some
12	thought on those dead-end stub streets. I just had
13	a complaint, Mr. Vaccaro, three weeks ago?
14	MR. VACCARO: Yes.
15	MR. HAWKE: From actually a resident of
16	Massillon, because it's a property that hits the
17	Jackson Township line into Massillon, and a person
18	went through a stub street, exactly like I see
19	right here, through their back yard and took their
20	fence out and missed their house by about that
21	much. (Indicating). So I've seen it happen.
22	Doesn't mean it's going to happen. Doesn't mean
23	it's not. I hope it never happens, but I don't see
24	much protection there. And this was over a hill of
25	a barrier that was there, and through some shrubs
	INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE

1	that this vehicle traveled. I mean honestly, the
2	distance between the back of that house and into
3	the stub is not very far. To my opinion, I mean
4	police officers could, you know, chief could tell
5	you better than I can, I don't think you're
6	stopping that quick. I think you're going to be in
7	their back door. I think that's a pretty short
8	distance of travel if you're going even 25 miles an
9	hour at the regulation speed.
10	So those are kind of my concerns. I don't
11	know how you can address those or if you can give
12	me answers or thoughts around or give me why I'm
13	wrong, because that's kind of where I'm at. I gave
14	you all of them at once. If you want me to go back
15	and give each piece.
16	MR. RICHINS: No, that's alright. I think
17	I can respond to those, a couple of them. There
18	was a question brought up earlier that I should
19	respond to also. You know, the stub streets on
20	Daytona and Payton, those are unchanged. They were
21	there already.
22	MR. HAWKE: Yeah.
23	MR. RICHINS: We have not affected those at
24	all.
25	MR. PIZZINO: But you will.

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

	MR. HAWKE: You will.
2	MR. PIZZINO: You will once you put your
3	development in there.
ŀ	MR. RICHINS: They're already stub streets.
;	MR. HAWKE: They're stub streets into a
5	field.
,	MR. PIZZINO: That's in a field.
3	MR. HAWKE: If Mr. Pizzino drives through
,	the end of that street, I don't think right now,
	he drives into a field, you know, we've just got to
	go get him out. If he drives in there once your
2	condo's built, he's in their back door, where
3	someone could have been sitting at a table. That's
+	a tough spot for me. Put yourself in my spot, to
;	be approving the plan you have, knowing that that's
5	a possibility.
7	MR. RICHINS: Well, we did have this
3	evaluated by traffic professionals, also by Stark
,	County Engineer. I appreciate the concern. It was
	not a concern that any of those gentlemen raised,
	but it's a legitimate concern. So I'm not sure I
2	have a solution that will solve issues that were
3	already there. We feel like we've solved a number
•	of issues, but those were concerns I think that
;	were already there. Another one that

1	MR. PIZZINO: Let me stop you before you go
2	on.
3	MR. RICHINS: Sure.
4	MR. PIZZINO: when you build those streets
5	inside your development, are they going to be to
6	our county specifications?
7	MR. RICHINS: Yes.
8	MR. PIZZINO: So they could be county and
9	turned over to the township.
10	MR. RICHINS: Oh, no, they would not be
11	turned over.
12	MR. PIZZINO: But they could be, if you're
13	building to their specifications?
14	MR. RICHINS: Legally they could not be.
15	MR. HAWKE: Well, that was my question.
16	That was another question. I'm glad Mr. Pizzino
17	brought that up. In your in the transcript, you
18	guys touched on that a number of times, that
19	they're private streets and you brought that up
20	again today, which is fine, but then the first part
21	of the transcript, the comment was made that
22	interior streets have been designed to county
23	standards for private residential streets. The
24	county standards part is concerning to us, because
25	if you build to county subdivision regulations,

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

1	while they're private today, the condo association
2	can turn them over to us and we can't say anything
3	about it. We have to take them. So I quess, is it
4	a misspoke? I mean maybe Mr. Walsh
5	MR. RICHINS: John can address this.
6	MR. HAWKE: Because it could just be a
7	confusion of wording.
8	MR. WALSH: Good evening. My name is John
-	
9	Walsh with GBC Design, 565 White Pond Drive, Akron,
10	Ohio, 44320, and we're the engineer and surveyors
11	for the project. I think our intent was to make
12	the pavement section, the thickness of the roads,
13	to meet the county standards. That way they're
14	going to hold up over time. What we propose is a
15	24 foot wide road from, I guess back curb to back
16	curb. The county standard is 29 feet back to back.
17	MR. HAWKE: Okay.
18	MR. WALSH: So it is going to be narrow,
19	and I guess just that amount there would prohibit
20	the county from accepting.
21	MR. HAWKE: To be clear, they're not going
22	to be built to subdivision regulations?
23	MR. WALSH: Right.
24	MR. VACCARO: On width.
25	MR. WALSH: On width. The thickness

1	MR. VACCARO: On thickness, yes.
2	MR. HAWKE: That was my question.
3	MR. PIZZINO: But if they would build them
4	to that and we would have to take care of them, the
5	streets, like we do all the other roads we take
6	care of, that would answer Mr. Hawke's safety
7	questions about these stub streets, with a little
8	tweaking of this plan. If they cannot use those
9	stub streets and go into that allotment because
10	it's going into a private development, isn't that
11	the reason why they can't do that, Mr. Vaccaro?
12	MR. VACCARO: I'm not 100% on subdivisions,
13	but what I hear you saying is, those streets are
14	already at subdivision standard going into a
15	non-subdivision standard?
16	MR. WALSH: Right.
17	MR. VACCARO: I see your point, and I'm
18	thinking of another PUD-3 in the township, and,
19	Rich, do you know, I don't know if you know
20	offhand, but I don't think those would have to
21	be maintained as private roads.
22	MR. PIZZINO: My R-3 is part of the
23	township road because they made them the width.
24	MS. POINDEXTER: It would have to be 50
25	foot road right-of-way.

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

MR. WALSH: The other increase would be, we would have to dedicate right-of-way, and if we dedicate right-of-way, then the setbacks are then forced off the right-of-way instead of the pavement, so it takes the -- I guess it shoves the buildings part, and I'm not sure they'd fit in there logistically if we did that. MR. HAWKE: Right. That was just a question I had because when I read that in the transcript, the comment of county standards, that triggered in my mind subdivision regulations. MR. WALSH: Right. MR. HAWKE: But if it's just you're looking at the thickness, it's not the right width, it doesn't have the right setbacks, then ultimately that makes it awesome that those roads cannot be turned over to the township at any point. There's no way you could do it, because we can only take roads over that are built to those subdivision regulations. MR. WALSH: Right. MR. VACCARO: But I just want to be clear about this. We do have a PUD-3 that is private roads connected to a public road that we maintain, and we have that PUD-3 condo group complaining that

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

1	they want to turn their roads over.
2	MR. HAWKE: Correct.
3	MR. VACCARO: It can be done, but there's
4	thru traffic that are using those privately
5	maintained roads because that condo group never
6	gated them. So in theory you could have the two
7	meet up.
8	MR. HAWKE: Without the gate, without a
9	knox box?
10	MR. VACCARO: Without a knox box or a
11	security gate.
12	MR. PIZZINO: So they could use that as
13	another exit?
14	MR. VACCARO: Yeah. So looking at this
15	current plan, if you did not gate Bermuda, in
15	
	theory, the folks living on Bermuda could travel
17	east into the condo private road and travel - what
18	would that be - northbound and out onto
19	Stuhldreher.
20	MR. HAWKE: Yep.
21	MR. WALSH: And part of the public and
22	private, the other concern about the traffic that's
23	coming out of Stuhldreher, if we start connecting
24	these, interconnecting these roads, that's going to
25	change the traffic pattern and probably bring more
	INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

1	people out on our exit at that point. I'm not
2	sure I think they exit further west. I'm not
3	familiar enough with where they come out onto
4	Stuhldreher, but it wouldn't change, I guess the
5	traffic patterns there. I guess we have there's
6	roughly fifty feet from the property line to the
7	back of those units.
8	MR. HAWKE: Okay.
9	MR. WALSH: And we're proposing an earthen
10	mound and stuff as a buffer through there. If we
11	would come through and I guess enhance those mounds
12	or make them higher to, one, be a visual, you know,
13	rather than just seeing trees, it would be a four,
14	five, six foot high mound, and I'm not sure what's
15	at the end of the streets today, whether there's
16	guardrail or anything that tells you today what's,
17	that the road ends or that there's a field.
18	MR. VACCARO: It would be posted that it's
19	a no outlet road.
20	MR. WALSH: Right.
21	MR. VACCARO: Right.
22	MR. WALSH: I think your safety concerns
23	are legitimate, but I think with some mounding
24	through there, we can put enough of a barrier
25	through there to prohibit, and then also it would

make it high enough through there for the two
buildings that are on Dayton. They wouldn't have
the headlights and stuff from all the people coming
down Dayton, that we could visually block that with
the space we have there right now.
MR. HAWKE: I was looking at more of the
safety, but you're right too, that that's the other
issue, is anybody coming down those streets of
Daytona or Payton would be, their headlights,
because there are houses right against that
property line, so as they would pull into the
driveway their headlights would be right into those
folks' back patios.
MR. WALSH: I think with the 47 feet, we
have room to do some improvements through there to
alleviate those safety concerns. And again, you
know, the demographics is an older community. They
want their nice unit, they want a nice patio. Most
of them probably aren't that interested in back
yards and that type stuff. So I think with the
landscaping and the mounding, it could be
attractive for those units and then also be a nice
safety buffer at the end of the streets.
MR. THOMAS: Sir, is that a dedicated road
on Bermuda, would that be an extension there or is

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

that just for safety?
MR. WALSH: It's for safety.
MR. THOMAS: Only for emergency?
MR. WALSH: Only for emergencies, and
again, it has a gate with a knox box. That way the
fire department can come. Only safety services can
open that. And that would be just in case the main
entrance off of Stuhldreher was blocked.
MR. HAWKE: I'm not trying to ignore you
guys. I wanted to look at a picture of that issue
that I was talking about.
MR. THOMAS: I'll ask a question while
you're looking. Maybe you're not the person to
answer this. We talked about whether or not the
condos would be all single story or at some point,
you know, you might want to build more than one
story. And if so, is that something that you guys
would have to come back to the Board for approval
on?
MR. WALSH: I've got to defer to the
architect. They get mad when you
MR. RICHINS: Our understanding, and
actually, this is pretty clear to us from the
zoning ordinance and township ordinances, is that
whatever gets approved has to be built

1	substantially as is approved. We see this as a
2	benefit to the public, of course, and the township,
3	but also to us in proposing the development, in
4	that there's no questions about changes occurring
5	later. So to continue on that point, once it's
6	approved, the proposed development and all the
7	particulars that are shown here, including the
8	footprints of the buildings, the descriptions of
9	the buildings, the grading and landscaping,
10	streets, buffers, all of this would be locked in.
11	The phrase from the ordinance is, Binding
12	commitment for the proposed development. We accept
13	that. It is a binding commitment. So I frankly
14	can't understand how changes could have occurred in
15	other instances, but we recognize that whatever
16	gets approved is what gets built.
17	MR. VACCARO: Sir, real quick. I want to
18	ask you a quick question. You were talking about
19	the front and being brick.
20	MR. RICHINS: Stone.
21	MR. VACCARO: Or stone, excuse me. But
22	isn't it a mixture? I'm looking at your profile.
23	Isn't the front a mixture of stone and
24	MR. RICHINS: There are some siding
25	elements. The front is mostly stone, but our view
	INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE

1	is that a mixture handled well is the best.
2	MR. PIZZINO: Why? When I'm looking at it,
3	80% of all stone and it looks like it's half done,
4	because it's not stone all the way up here, if this
5	is the right view here.
6	MR. RICHINS: The idea of a stone wainscot
7	with siding above is a well-known and attractive
8	architectural motif. We certainly don't have any
9	qualms about using that motif. In other areas you
10	can see that the stone goes all the way up to the
11	gable, and so the mixture of an articulated facade
12	with different materials like that is actually what
13	we're aiming for.
14	MR. VACCARO: Okay. My point in answering
15	that, I was just led to believe it was all stone.
16	I just wanted to make sure we were clear on what
17	your profile showed.
18	MR. RICHINS: Okay.
19	MR. YODER: My name is Dwight Yoder. I'm
20	actually the developer/builder here. P.O. Box 250,
21	Uniontown, Ohio. So I just wanted to clarify on
22	these footprints, and we mentioned that, you know,
23	it is our intent to comply substantially with what
24	is showing. I wanted to point out that we on some
25	of the sunrooms, and this is sort of a minor point,

1	but we're talking about this right now. We show
2	those sunrooms at a 12 foot depth off the back of
3	the main building rear wall. There may be some
4	clients who don't want that full sunroom. So it
5	may end up being, you know, four feet, six feet,
6	but we would expect to have that flexibility and
7	still be recognized as complying substantially with
8	what's being presented tonight.
9	MR. THOMAS: So am I to understand that you
10	would build it based on somebody who wants to buy
11	it, you would build it to their specifications?
12	MR. YODER: That's correct. I mean within
13	reason.
14	MR. THOMAS: Okay.
15	MR. YODER: Within reason.
16	MR. THOMAS: And what if it's not
17	already will you only build it if it's already
18	pre-purchased, or what would you do if it wasn't
19	pre-purchased?
20	MR. YODER: No. We expect to have some
21	built on speculation for sale.
22	MR. THOMAS: Okay.
23	MR. YODER: But this project would probably
24	take five to seven years to build out, right?
25	MR. THOMAS: Right.

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

MR. YODER: And we're not going to get
ahead of the market. We're going to build what
people want, amenatize them inside to what they
want.
MR. THOMAS: Appreciate it. Thank you. So
I'm not that particularly concerned with the stub
roads. I think, you know, it might be more traffic
actually going through those, through that area,
and I'm not sure those people would want that. As
far as the potential moving of the three-units,
that sounds reasonable, if you would consider
moving them to the side where the township property
is, I think that sounds reasonable. But other than
that, the proposal sounds quite good. I think the
township could certainly use some other types of
housing. So I think otherwise it sounds pretty
good. Thank you.
MR. HAWKE: Let me clarify my statement to
you. I'm not suggesting that those stub roads
should be full access. I'm just saying I don't
particularly think it's a safe idea to put a home
right at the end of a stub. That's all. I mean
there could be another again, I have no problem
with the knox box situation or the emergency
dedicated street or if it were open to some extent

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

1	or something. There's just got to be to me, I
2	look at these things too and I have to step back
3	and I look at all the pieces and parts of things,
4	you know, to the earlier comments from
5	Mrs. Yingling, we want to find a place, I want a
6	place as that, you know, my in-laws have wanted to
7	move into if they chose to come out of their
8	two-story home, that is right across the street
9	over here, or that if I wanted to go into it, I
10	would feel comfortable and I would feel safe. I
11	think you have a good plan. That's not my issue.
12	I'm just looking at the overall safety, and those
13	two points are a concern. I mean I wouldn't want
14	to be sitting in that back door, look up and see
15	headlights coming through my door. And I don't
16	mean just the lights. Mr. Walsh gave that comment.
17	I just got to those pictures from the
18	earlier incident. The mound's probably what, four
19	feet maybe? It went over a four foot, the vehicle
20	went over a four foot embankment that was about 20
21	feet from the end of the actual roadway roughly.
22	And Mr. Rohn looked at all that for us, and it went
23	over a sign, over that, through a split rail fence,
24	over a bush, and it never stopped. Through an
25	allotment street. So, you know, again, whether

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

they're speeding or not, I don't have any access points to, we don't know that, but that to me, I mean I look at that and I look at this picture and I look at where you are distance-wise and I can see just on the other side of that mound being where this house would sit. If that mound comes back closer to me, because to your point this is tighter than what this particular image is, they're going to hit it sooner, but it still doesn't mean it's going to stop. That safety concern's just really eating at me, and I don't know how else to say that to you. MR. YODER: So, Mr. Hawk, let me just draw an analogy here, if that's the right expression, but I would say within a half mile radius of where we're at tonight, there are probably at least a dozen T intersections within developments, where you have, to your way of thinking, an even more dangerous situation. And we have that everywhere. You know, to your concerns, we would be willing to, as John Walsh mentioned, you know, building the mound higher. We can put ballards up and we can put guardrail, but what we're proposing here is safer than what you'll find on many public streets, and you can find examples, as I said, I bet a dozen 

5	٥
	3

within a half a mile of here.
MR. HAWKE: You won't get a disagreement
from me on that, but I didn't approve those
streets. Those streets were built in an R-1 or an
R-2 or some other piece where it was, you know this
is the number of units, this is the number of lots,
and this is how it's going to be built, and they
were approved based on the subdivision regulation
side. This is a specific plan that I as one of the
three trustees would approve. So I have a
different perspective than that side. But, again,
I completely agree. Trust me, when I pull up to
those, I have the same angst as I pull up to it
about the person who's coming behind me not going
around me through it, you know, I mean that's not
the issue. And whether it's drainage pitching,
whether it's additional build-up, but we have to
get we've gotta know what that is to be able
to in my opinion, I would have to know what that
is.
MR. PIZZINO: I agree. I think they could
make that buffer higher and wider. I still have a
concern, but it would help our concern, but I don't
think I'm going to back down from the three, those
three-units, where they're at. You know, honestly,

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

1	MR. HAWKE: Hang on one second.
2	MR. RICHINS: Sure.
3	MR. HAWKE: I'm going to get it here. And
4	as well, at those, whatever we do, I think we need
5	to come up with something at the ends of those
6	streets like you've done at Bermuda for our
7	township folks to be able to push snow. They're
8	not marked off on those two, so we want to just get
9	that, because look, I don't want to damage the
10	things you put together with a snowplow, because
11	you build a nice buffer that the residents are
12	supposed to have there to protect them and put them
13	in a space, and then our plow comes through, out of
14	no fault of their own, because they're pushing ten
15	inches of snow through and it ruins the part of the
16	buffer and tears it up, we're going to have to fix
17	that, number one. Number two, it's going to be a
18	mess for a while because we all know what's about
19	to happen as the temperature heats up a little bit.
20	I only use the heat word because I'm trying to
21	think about that. You know, there's going to be
22	some muddy mess out there where we couldn't fix it
23	for a while. You're going to have to live with
24	something like that. You don't want to put us in
25	that spot.
	INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE

1	If we can get so I would want to see
2	additional protection at those stubs, snow removal
3	space at those stubs, and I do have a concern about
4	that other emergency access being gravel. I mean
5	it doesn't need to be, you know, hugely thick
6	asphalt, but I think to keep it clean, you know, is
7	there something else, unless the Chief has a
8	different
9	CHIEF HOGUE: No. Emergency access road is
10	defined in the Ohio Fire Code in appendix B, and it
11	can be gravel. Actually, if you go down to
12	Serenity Shores, those are slag. It just has to be
13	engineered so it takes the weight of our vehicles.
14	So we'd love for them to be paved. It's better for
15	us.
16	MR. HAWKE: Right.
17	CHIEF HOGUE: As long as it meets the
18	intent of the code, the Ohio Fire Code.
19	MR. HAWKE: Right.
20	CHIEF HOGUE: I can't tell him he has to
21	pave it.
22	MR. HAWKE: Right. So from an overall
23	perspective from me, I would prefer it be paved,
24	because of what he just said, it's easier to clean
25	and clear and take care of. I understand it
	INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE

1	doesn't have to be, so that's, you know, and also
2	it is up against Mr. Pizzino's point, folks who
3	live there, I understand you're putting a buffering
4	in, but I just look at that as, it's not to me
5	it's not a long run. I mean it's money obviously
6	and obviously that's part of the process here,
7	someone's got to pay to put that in, but I think
8	that's something that should be looked at at least.
9	And again, I get the county's thing, like I
10	sat on a committee for their access management, I
11	put it together, but, you know, again, you can move
12	a knox box location out closer to the edge of the
13	road to let people know and signage could be put up
14	there. So those and I do agree with Mr. Pizzino
15	on the triplex piece up against the folks' house,
16	but that to me is something I think you've got to
17	look at and you've got to make I just I
18	don't I think there's a way to do it without
19	putting it right in their back yard, personally. I
20	don't think it's a problem. Again, I love the
21	idea. I've just got to get comfortable with those
22	few points. So if you want to answer any
23	questions.
24	MR. RICHINS: Well, I can try to answer on
25	one of those points certainly. We did not get

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

input from public works on snow storage at the
existing stub streets, so that wasn't addressed,
but I understand that concern. Nor did we get
input, you know, from any safety concerns about
those, but we do appreciate your concern, because
there's obviously been an incident, but I think our
response would be that there are ballards that will
stop a car.
MR. HAWKE: Sure.
MR. RICHINS: And so I think that ballards
are probably the answer there. Now, whether those
ballards occur on dedicated streets or on our, you
know, the developer's private property, is probably
a good discussion to have. It seems like they
ought to occur on the dedicated right-of-ways, but
if it needs to be a stipulation that those ballards
occur on private property, which I frankly think is
an odd arrangement, but if it needs to be
stipulated, those ballards could be provided at
Daytona and Payton.
MR. HAWKE: I'll leave that to Mr. Rohn as
far as there are some regulations. Obviously
you're dealing with a township, not a city or a
county, and we have some different regulations
under O.R.C. as to what we're allowed to install

1	and what we're allowed to put up in those
2	situations, so I would leave that to him as to
3	whether that's a which route you would go.
4	MR. RICHINS: Yeah.
5	MR. YODER: So, Mr. Pizzino, to your
6	concern about the triplex. With the mounding
7	that's behind that triplex and the trees planted on
8	top yet, they will never be visible from the homes
9	that front on Stuhldreher. They just it's just
10	a non-issue, and one of the reasons we laid it out
11	as we did assume a few considerations. If you
12	look at these duplexes along here, the drives line
13	up with the duplexes across the street from them,
14	and there's a pleasing appearance. It's also
15	functionality. It makes sense for this to be laid
16	out just the way it is. It's just a non-issue from
17	a screening standpoint, what we're going to be
18	doing, and this is a beautiful development. I mean
19	this is going to be a gem with how we build it,
20	with ponds and fountains, with how I do things,
21	it's going to be done right, but we won't give up
22	the triplexes.
23	MR. PIZZINO: Then we'll vote.
24	MR. HAWKE: The other thing I'll say to you
25	on that is, and I appreciate your piece on the
	INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE

1	symmetry point. You don't have that on the rest of
2	the development. The driveways don't line up on
3	the two-units on the other side.
4	MR. YODER: It's actually less about the
5	driveways lining up and more about just the
6	buildings that face each other.
7	MR. HAWKE: That was your comment was that
8	it was about the driveways lining up. It's not on
9	the other side, that's all I'm saying. And the
10	driveways don't line up on the triplexes either.
11	The twos are on the side of the ones, across from
12	each other.
13	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: No.
14	MR. VACCARO: Your time's over with, sir.
15	MR. HAWKE: So that would be
16	MR. VACCARO: Do you understand? Okay.
17	Sorry for interrupting.
18	MR. HAWKE: No, you're fine. So you know
19	what I mean, I mean I guess that's the question.
20	Are there any other comments?
21	MR. PIZZINO: He's only talking about two
22	units that have got to go down. I'm not asking for
23	all seven of them, but he doesn't want to do that,
24	so
25	MR. HAWKE: I guess my

1	MR. THOMAS: I'm sorry. You want to move
2	six of them, right? 1, 2 and 3?
3	MR. PIZZINO: Well, it don't matter.
4	Piperglen side, there's two units there, two
5	three-units. Those are the only two we want to
6	take down to two-units and hopefully try to give
7	them a little more room, as Mr. Hawke said, away
8	from the single family homes.
9	MR. HAWKE: And I completely understand the
10	mounding, but, you know, that's, you know, it's
11	part of it and I think that it's just one that's
12	a piece for me that makes sense too is to move
13	those around to some degree. And I guess the
14	question is, we really have three ultimate
15	things we have four ultimate things we can do.
16	Obviously some of the questions we've asked, you
17	can't make a modification sitting here right now
18	because you're going to have to make a change, I
19	would assume. So we can't approve the we can't
20	do something with the Zoning Commission's
21	recommendation with a modification because you're
22	not going to be able to make the modification. So
23	that option is out. We can vote to either accept
24	the modification, or accept the Zoning Commission's
25	recommendation or deny it, or we can continue it,

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

if you want to take a look at it, if you could make
anything work that we've asked for. Those are the
options that I would see. We either continue it
and you look at that and bring it back and we'll
have to reschedule a date, or we take the full vote
on either approval or denial. Am I correct,
Mr. Vaccaro?
MR. VACCARO: You're correct. I think
before we vote Are we done?
MR. HAWKE: Well, the only other discussion
point is, are you even willing to look at it?
MR. YODER: I'd like an up and down vote on
what you see.
MR. HAWKE: Then I'll close the hearing to
any further comment, and will take a motion for
anybody want to make a motion for the
MR. THOMAS: I'll do it to accept the
Zoning Board's recommendation.
MR. HAWKE: Correct.
MR. PIZZINO: I'll second that.
MR. HAWKE: You'll second that. So the
motion is to accept the recommendation to approve
the rezone?
MR. THOMAS: That's right.
MR. HAWKE: As it's amended?

INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE (330) 332-DEPO (3376)

1	
1	MR. THOMAS: (Indicating affirmatively).
2	MR. GONZALEZ: Mr. Hawke?
3	MR. HAWKE: No.
4	MR. GONZALEZ: Mr. Pizzino?
5	MR. PIZZINO: NO.
6	MR. GONZALEZ: Mr. Thomas?
7	MR. THOMAS: Yes.
8	MR. GONZALEZ: So everybody understands it
9	was turned down. The zoning was turned down.
10	
11	
12	
13	(Hearing concluded at 6:55)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	INDEPENDENT REPORTING SERVICE

Γ

1	<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>
2	
3	STATE OF OHIO )
4	STARK COUNTY )
5	I, Deanna Gleckler, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and
7	Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly
8	commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the
9	within Board of Trustees Hearing was by me reduced to
10	Stenotypy and afterwards transcribed upon a computer, and
11	that the foregoing is a true and correct transcription of
12	the Hearing so given to the best of my ability.
13	I do certify that this Hearing was taken at
14	the time and place in the foregoing caption specified. I
15	do further certify that I am not a relative, counsel or
16	attorney of either party, or otherwise interested in the
17	event of this action.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
19	hand and affixed my seal of office at Salem, Ohio on this
20	15th day of March, 2021.
21	
22	
23	DEANNA GLECKLER, RPR-CRR, Notary Public My commission expires 1-6-25.
24	my commission expires 1-0-23.
25	