1	BEFORE THE JACKSON TOWNSHIP BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS
2	APPEAL NO. 2023962
3	
4	
5	
6	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	The following Board of Zoning Appeals
15	Hearing was taken before me, the undersigned, Deanna
16	Gleckler, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified
17	Realtime Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State
18	of Ohio, at the Jackson Township offices, 5735 Wales
19	Avenue, N.W., Massillon, Ohio, on Thursday, the 14th day
20	of December 2023, at 5:40 p.m.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS
5	JARED SINGER - CHAIRMAN
6	EDWARD MCDONNELL
7	PATRICK SNYDER
8	RANDY ALEXANDER
9	STEVEN GOSNEY
10	DEBORAH BUSBY
11	JONI POINDEXTER - ZONING INSPECTOR
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

,

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

- - - - - - - - -

MR. SINGER: All right. The application is 2023962. The date filed with the zoning inspector 11/3/2023. The applicant is Andy Ginella, located at 4096 Holiday Street, N.W., Canton, Ohio, 44718. Property owner is Andrea Ginella, Trustee, 7970 Weston Place, N.W., North Canton, Ohio, 44720. Premises affected, one in the same, at 7970 Weston Place, N.W., North Canton, Ohio, 44720, parcel number 1627813. Zoning district R-R, quarter section 04 Southeast. The application is for: Requests a variance to not install a fence around an in-ground swimming pool where an in-ground swimming pool on less than 5 acres is required to be enclosed with a wall or fence to prevent uncontrolled access from adjacent properties per section 401.12(B) as in boy, of the zoning resolution. Hearing date/time is 12/14/23, that's today at 5:15. The time is currently 5:43. Comments in the application, exception to fence requirement.

All right. Within the packet we should have the cover sheet, as well as the application. We should have a set of, it looks like a

1

2

chronological written history titled 7970 Weston Place. It's a summary regarding violation of a fence not being installed around an in-ground swimming pool where a fence is required for in-ground swimming pools on less than five acres. You should have one sheet that, second sheet of that. We have the zoning permit from 11/21/2022. We should have a sketch of a pool and the house. At the bottom of the sketch it's labeled 7970 Weston Place. We should have a fence permit that was filed with the zoning inspector, or filed on 11/21/2022. Another, it looks like a similar aerial sort of drawing of the house and the pool titled 7970 Weston Place. We should have a violation notice that indicates a case number of 2023268 dated 7/27/2023 to Trevor and Alyssa Rice. There is an additional, next page, final notice of violation, case number 2023268 dated 8/25/2023.

We should have a letter on Jackson Township
Zoning Department letterhead on September 18, 2023
to Trevor and Alyssa Rice from the zoning
inspector. We should have a Jackson Township Board
of Trustees letterhead, letter dated October 4,
2023 to Mr. and Mrs. Trevor Rice about the apparent
violation signed by Mr. Vaccaro that's shown as

Exhibit 6 at the bottom. I should have clarified.

I indicated with exhibit numbers, 1 through up to

6. Now we're at 7 here on the next page.

We should have an email on Friday October 13, 2023 at 8:07 a.m. from the zoning inspector to Andy Ginella. Next page we should have Jackson Township Zoning Department letterhead dated October 13, 2023 to Andrea Ginella, Trustee from Joni, the zoning inspector. Next page, notice of violation, Jackson Township Zoning letterhead. This is case number 2023268 dated 7/27/2023 to Trevor and Alyssa Rice from Cliff Meidlein, the zoning investigator. Final notice of violation, case number 2023268 dated 8/25/2023 to Trevor and Alyssa Rice, signed again by Cliff, the zoning investigator.

Next page should be a screenshot in Adobe
Acrobat Pro of Jackson Township Zoning Department
letterhead with the October 13, 2023 date to Andy
Ginella, Trustee from the zoning inspector.
Exhibit 8, indicated on the bottom right of the
next sheet, should be from Andy Ginella to Joni
Poindexter, zoning inspector, with a snippet from
Andy Ginella dated October 13, 2023 at the top to
Joni, and that email continues to the next page.
Exhibit 9 is from Joni Poindexter, zoning

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

inspector, to Andy Ginella dated November 6, to Andy from Joni. The following page is the previous email as well, it's the bottom footer.

Exhibit 10 marked on the bottom right, we should have Andy Ginella sending an email to Joni Poindexter, Zoning Inspector, November 6, 2023. Again dated in the body of the email November 6, 2023 to Joni from Andy Ginella. Next page, email from Joni Poindexter, Zoning Inspector, November 6, 2023 to Andy Ginella from Joni. The next page looks to be a screenshot, or this is from USPS Informed Delivery indicating that apparently this letter would be delivered USPS and it's dated November 6, 2023. Please see attached. So that is proof USPS delivery on that date, looks like USPS delivery date October 27, 2023 down below in the bottom portion of that page. Next page is a scan of the envelope from the Zoning & Planning Department to Andrea Ginella, Trustee at 7970 Weston Place, N.W. It looks like a remainder of the previous email or scan. There's a date on there Friday, October 27th, and then continuance of that to the final page, looks like a similar thing with USPS for Informed Delivery.

I know that was a lot. Does everyone on

1	the board have those items? Yeah. So there's, at
2	least in the first stapled packet, we should have
3	an additional small packet of information. It's
4	all on letterhead from Andy Ginella, Attorney at
5	Law, at 4096 Holiday Street, N.W., Canton, Ohio,
6	44718, it's a letter to the Jackson Township Board
7	of Zoning Appeals, dated November 2, 2023, signed
8	by Andy Ginella, Attorney at Law. We already have
9	that, and the following one satellite photo and two
10	quarter section snip in that packet. Does everyone
11	have that?
12	MS. BUSBY: Yes.
13	MR. SNYDER: Got it.
14	MR. SINGER: All right. So let's get
15	going. All right. Who is here to speak in favor
16	of the appeal?
17	MR. GINELLA: Good evening.
18	MR. SINGER: Good evening. If you could
19	please raise your right hand.
20	
21	WHEREUPON,
22	ANDY A. GINELLA,
23	who, after being first duly sworn,
24	testified as follows:
25	

MR. SINGER: Thank you.

MR. GINELLA: My name is Andy Ginella. My address is 4096 Holiday Street, N.W., Canton, 44718. For clarification purposes, my legal name is Andrea Ginella, so you're reading Andrea Ginella. I go by Andy. It's a little easier to be recognized that way, so --

MR. SINGER: I apologize for that.

MR. GINELLA: No, please don't. I've had it my entire life, so it's okay, but just for clarification so you understand the correlation between the names. So on behalf of, I am the trustee of the property, the tenants, the people who live there, for the benefit of them, is Trevor and Alyssa Rice.

Just a little brief background. So when you're taking a look at this, of course, when they first started this project, like a lot of projects begin, they want to put a pool in. Then you start thinking about, okay, where are we going to place it? Let's get a permit. Let's go ahead. And what they didn't expect after they started construction back in November, they didn't realize the amount of deer that travel right through their yard. And

25

when they were digging just the rough area for the pool that was going to be installed, the deer that would come through there and actually go into the hole and then come out of the hole. So initially when they thought a fence would be the idea, they actually then put a construction fence up around the area that, of course, would go into the springtime. The animals were clearly just jumping right over the construction fence. And some of the problems were continuing that way with animals in this rural area. So that's where it quickly changed from a fence to what is a better securement of the pool area. And then in looking at the regulations, of course, I think the township trustees and the board did a great job in amending the regulations and allowing five acres or more, but that just really looks at just one factor of that. Clearly, there's an ongoing safety issue and what is a better safety issue. Clearly, on these pools, we know that a fence is one of the area, but a fence only creates a perimeter securement, where a pool, secured pool cover of certain specifications, create a complete perimeter securement of the pool itself, which that's what the issue was becoming clearly with the animals,

1 deer coming through.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One of the other things that was really kind of interesting when I was doing more research on this, it clearly was coming down to when you're doing five acres. So you clearly know that all parcel lands, five acres is not dimensional always, and you could actually have a residential property on a small lot, but long and narrow, which is five So your neighbors could actually be closer for the property itself, but you'd still be qualified to not have a fence up, which then, you know, those could be considered, if you have a fence up, attractiveness of a pool, I get all that. I don't take this issue of not I mean, I do. securing a pool lightly. But what I started checking into, again, what I thought was really interesting, I represent a number of different developers in the area, especially Jackson Township, you know, we've always had this question with regards to retention basins and retention ponds, you know, there's no requirements for fencing or around certain acreage of retention ponds of less than five acres.

In addition to that, I thought it was another interesting factor is that the neighbor to

the north of this property has a large playground equipment area and, you know, there's another issue that, of course, doesn't have any regulations with regards to protection for those types of things.

Children, of course, climb up these. He has a climbing wall, he has all kinds of things on this thing and someone could fall and get hurt just as easily as in this kind of setting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So in looking at these things, some of the other factors that I saw that was really interesting, you know, technology has changed drastically and it is constantly changing. There are currently ten states that are mandatory adoption of a secured pool cover of this factor. Of this nature. Ten states. There are ten other states that are adopting the new pool covers securing type of this nature. So, clearly, this is becoming more recognized, because, clearly, the fence with a secured gate is a securement, but as I said, it's more of a perimeter as opposed to the area or areas, meaning perimeter, physical barrier meaning the pool. That is definitely the pros and cons of the different fencing requirement, but the issue coming down to what is the better securement. Better securement is not to have a pool, if that's

what the issue is. That's really what it comes down to, but then you might as not even have playground equipment, retention ponds and everything else.

But where is it really -- where is it in this particular case? What's different and unique here? And what it is, really comes down to, is exactly how the guidelines are designed for appeal purposes, you know, the nine factors that you look at, and the nine factors, my client meets five of those nine factors.

Is the circumstances peculiar? It is, because in normal residential, this is more of a rural area, the larger development. This is a very large development. It's surrounded by another farm - again, larger parcels - so it's not a small developed neighborhood, as a lot of them are in Jackson Township. They do own 2.5 acres. The other particular, which is my client has an option to purchase an additional 2.5 acres directly behind it from the farmer. So that coupled with the 2.5 obviously gives them the five acres, but the farmer's still not done farming and he still wants to continue farming, but when it's time to sell, then my client will purchase that other 2.5 acres.

And then it continues with what are the other areas.

It doesn't interfere or does not create any adverse effect of governmental services. It does not affect that at all. The situation on this one, while we're looking at it, the services will not be affected from the township section. Does the spirit and the intent behind the zoning requirement, will it be substantially justified by bringing the variance? And here in this case it would, because, again, there's a uniqueness to this parcel of land. And it is not that it should not have a fence. It is secured with a secured structure, lock key code system that is in place. So it's not giving this homeowner a benefit or more of a benefit than someone else.

And then the really one that was really kind of interesting is the cost factor. Clearly, if an individual wanted to put just a fence up, it would be less expensive. My clients paid \$25,000 for this secure pool cover. It's not just the cost, it is clearly what is a better securement for this pool, in-ground pool area. So those factors are clearly some of the requests or some of the reasons for the request for the variance.

I found a similar case. It was out of the Seventh District of Ohio, that there was a pool cover requested and the Board of Zoning Appeals denied the request. They, of course, took it up on appeals, and they were reversed saying that the zoning board, it was unreasonable or unsupported by a preponderance of substantial, reliable, probative evidence to not grant the variance. And in this case that they talked about, again, I found it was interesting because it was similar. The technology has changed quite a bit, and the securement by a pool cover of this nature would definitely lend to a better securement of those pools when you're looking at the benefit that it is for.

One of the other things that the clients were not completely decided on was, after they put the pool in, how the yard was going to be completed. So after the pool is in, of course, then you start looking at what other things can happen and what other areas can be protected, or how else can affect the area around the pool and, of course, it takes just a little bit of time to do that. To put a fence up, you know, to spend that money just to put a temporary fence up or just to comply with the regulations is not the goal. That

1 wasn't what they wanted to do. They wanted to at 2 least get it to where it's going to be a good 3 looking structure. And that's what they're trying to accomplish. So they thought for the safetiness, 5 they do have a child, a two-year-old and, of course, they're concerned about their own child, 6 7 and this was a better securement as well. 8 So except for any rebuttal, I'll be glad to 9 answer any questions from the board. 10 MR. SINGER: Does the board have any 11 questions for Mr. Ginella at this time? 12 MS. BUSBY: I have a question. 13 MR. SINGER: Yes, Deb. 14 MS. BUSBY: I'm somewhat confused as to the 15 ownership of the property. You referred to the 16 Rices as tenants and property owners both in the 17 same, and I saw on the auditor's site that it was 18 sold from them to you in 2022. 19 MR. GINELLA: As a trustee, yes. 20 MS. BUSBY: So they are the tenants? How 21 is it you're saying that they can purchase two and 22 a half acres next-door or, you know, the farm 23 next-door? Would they be doing that as owners of 24 the property not tenants of the property?

MR. GINELLA:

The trust is for their

1	benefit, so they are the beneficiaries of the
2	trust. So therefore, when the trust decides to
3	purchase that initial 2.5 acres, it will be myself
4	as a trustee purchasing it for their benefit.
5	MS. BUSBY: Okay.
6	MR. ALEXANDER: Mr. Chairman.
7	MR. SINGER: Yeah, go ahead.
8	MR. ALEXANDER: Mr. Ginella, just a couple
9	questions here. Off the top of your head do you
10	know approximate distance between that playground
11	and that pool? I know it looks like the
12	playground's fairly close to the property line.
13	MR. GINELLA: It's approximately 55 feet.
14	MR. ALEXANDER: Do you happen to know the
15	life expectancy of that pool cover, the warranty?
16	MR. GINELLA: The one that they purchased,
17	it says eight years.
18	MR. ALEXANDER: Eight years?
19	MR. GINELLA: Yes, sir.
20	MR. ALEXANDER: Do you have any idea of how
21	much weight that that can hold? I don't know right
22	offhand. I can look in here. The size of the
23	swimming pool is how big? I don't know if I have
24	that.
25	MS. BUSBY: It is 44 by 18.

1 MR. MCDONNELL: I'm sorry. I didn't hear. 2 MR. SINGER: 44 by 18. 3 MR. ALEXANDER: So in the paperwork that you have there, information about the company that 5 manufactured that pool cover, do they have a weight 6 rating on that? 7 MR. GINELLA: They do and, you know, I know 8 it meets with all the specifications as outlined in 9 the zoning regulations. I don't have that. 10 gives me all the other specifications. 11 MR. ALEXANDER: Okav. And I know there's 12 different styles in covers and everything else, so 13 it obviously is going to be a little different. Do 14 you know offhand, does that cover have to be 15 physically opened and closed; in other words, an individual has to go over - you said it had a 16 17 locking device on it - I believe that individual 18 has to go over there and set a code or type a code 19 in to get that pool cover to open? 20 MR. GINELLA: Correct. MR. ALEXANDER: And then same thing to 21 22 close it? 23 MR. GINELLA: Same thing to close it and 24 to -- you have to enter the code and then lock it. 25 MR. ALEXANDER: So to properly and safely

1 operate that pool cover, it takes an individual to 2 physically do that? 3 MR. GINELLA: Yes. 4 MR. ALEXANDER: All right. Thank you, sir. 5 MR. SINGER: Mr. Ginella, you indicated 6 that their pool cover, I believe, and I'll have you 7 confirm this, but their pool cover that they currently have installed does meet the ASTM listing 8 9 F1346-91 indicated in the zoning resolution; is 10 that correct? 11 MR. GINELLA: It does, yes. 12 MR. SINGER: Thank you. 13 MR. ALEXANDER: I'd like to clarify one 14 more thing. 15 MR. SINGER: Yes, please. 16 MR. ALEXANDER: When you were talking here, 17 you were talking about the deer, and I have a lot 18 on my property, too, and I can appreciate that part 19 of it. So when you pulled the permit to put a 20 fence up - so I guess my question is kind of 21 two-part - was there really any intention of 22 actually ever putting the fence up? And, hey, 23 there's some deer there and they did jump over the construction fence and that, not to say they would 24 25 actually jump into the pool, or is this -- I guess

3

4

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what is the real reason for not putting that fence up? Is it solely because of the deer, or that just kind of helps with saying, well, we got this issue as well? I'm a little confused there, because they did pull the permit for it.

MR. GINELLA: And actually, they were ready, they've already met with the contractor. They actually moved forward for the fence. going to be more of a decorative aluminum style fence. So it wasn't going to just be -- so when they started to look at that, when the deer and the animals were really coming in, they actually had a temporary, the pool installer had a temporary cover Just a cover that was put down by I think boulders or blocks to hold it down to keep things from -- and they tore through that. The deer tore through that temporary cover that was there. when they looked at this, because the fence was there, they tore through the fence -- jumped over the fence, then they tore through this cover, that's when they finally said, look, is this fence really going to prevent the animals and then is it going to be a better securement? That's when they actually talked to the pool company and said, Hey, look, is there a better system out there?

that's when they then went ahead and went with the pool cover.

MR. ALEXANDER: Thank you, sir.

MR. SINGER: Mr. Ginella, the zoning resolution indicates that the cover should always be closed when pool is not in use, and I think Mr. Alexander alluded to this. Can you confirm again the operation of the pool cover automatic/manual - how we know or how someone is to know it's not in use, a little bit on that, can you speak to that.

MR. GINELLA: Sure. For in order to install, to be in compliance, they have specific requirements of the height requirement as well as the location and key. And they met with those and those are installed. It's outside right by the heater pool area, the locking mechanism is right there. That's where it's at. Again, it's always secured right there in that area. So it's not like hidden away or it's not in a garage where you can't see it. It's right there, so when you get out of the pool area, it's right within probably less than five feet to where that mechanism is at.

MR. SINGER: To close the pool cover, is a key required or is it just a simple push button;

(330) 332-DEPO (3376)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

are you aware?

MR. GINELLA: There's a outer shell structure that has a key. You open that and then the key -- and then the push button code.

MR. SINGER: Okay. So for all intents and purposes, the control method for actuation for closing and/or opening the pool cover could be left unlocked, the cover could be left unlocked to close and/or open the pool via push button; is that correct?

MR. GINELLA: No. The locking mechanism just ensures that no one will just punch it, knows the code to punch the pool cover open.

MR. SINGER: I see.

MR. GINELLA: Okay. So the locking mechanism prevents anybody who may saw somebody, the code to get in. So that's what prevents it. So if somebody does pay attention to what the homeowner's doing, and pushes the code, recognizes the number - okay, I'll remember that - they still have to get access to that by opening up with a key.

MR. SINGER: Are there any safeties installed around the pool, like any like laser or liner curtains or anything of that sort that would

1 automatically shut this cover if anyone from an 2 adjacent property would approach the pool itself? MR. GINELLA: No, there's not, but I know 3 4 there's current technology in place to try to 5 create that. I don't think they have done that yet. With some of the electronic pool covers, I 7 know they're working on that. I spoke to one of 8 the representatives of the pool cover, and they 9 would definitely say that that's one of the up and 10 coming technology things that they're trying to do. 11 MR. SINGER: Fair enough. Thank you. Any 12 other questions from the board for Mr. Ginella? 13 MR. MCDONNELL: Mr. Chairman. 14 MR. SINGER: Yes, sir. 15 MR. MCDONNELL: Just to clarify, first of all, it was the Rices who, they had the authority 16 17 to contract for the pool in the first place? 18 MR. GINELLA: Correct. 19 MR. MCDONNELL: And it was the Rices who, 20 and I'm talking about Trevor and Alyssa, who 21 stopped the contractor from putting up the fence; 22 is that correct? 23 MR. GINELLA: I don't think they actually 24 stopped anybody. I think it was a matter of what 25 was the better system to put in place.

1	MR. MCDONNELL: Well, they either stopped
2	him, because they took out a permit to build a
3	fence, so they told him not to build a fence; is
4	that correct?
5	MR. GINELLA: If you want to look at it
6	that way. That's not the case.
7	MR. MCDONNELL: Well, how would you look at
8	it?
9	MR. GINELLA: I would look at it they made
10	a better decision by going with the pool cover.
11	They still took the permit out because that's what
12	they thought would be a better system to do. So it
13	wasn't like they didn't take the permit out for the
14	fence.
15	MR. MCDONNELL: You also mentioned, you did
16	mention that the property is 2.5 acres?
17	MR. GINELLA: Yes, sir.
18	MR. MCDONNELL: Okay. The auditor shows
19	that it's 2.49. Is it 2.5 or 2.49?
20	MR. GINELLA: I would not dispute what the
21	auditor's card says, but I did not have it surveyed
22	either.
23	MR. MCDONNELL: Well, I just looked at the
24	auditor's site because I was looking for another
25	overhead, a better overhead view, and it did show

2.49. 1 2 MR. GINELLA: Okay. 3 MR. MCDONNELL: 2.49. So and that 2.5 4 acres is to the north of your property? Or excuse 5 It would be to the east of your property, because it's the rear lot, off the rear lot; is 7 that correct? MR. GINELLA: It would be to the east of 8 9 the property, yes, sir. 10 MR. MCDONNELL: And I do appreciate you did point out one of the fallacies or one of the 11 weaknesses of the section for the five-acre 12 13 section, because just like you said, you've got a 14 play set, the neighbor's play set, within, you said 15 within 55 feet. 16 MR. GINELLA: Yeah. 17 MR. MCDONNELL: And so that section does 18 not, makes no mention of where the pool is actually 19 located. And again, you have not purchased that, 20 that option has not been exercised, and you have no idea when it will be exercised; is that correct? 21 MR. GINELLA: There's no time frame on the 22 23 exercising, but they do have an option on it. 24 MR. MCDONNELL: When was that pool filled 25 with water by, do you happen to know?

MR. GINELLA: I do not know.

MR. MCDONNELL: So it's been probably quite a while. And just taking a look at, I'm just kind of curious, and you don't have to answer the question, but this whole thing started back in June. Well, the permit was pulled in November last year, but then the construction was done in June and then the letters started going out in June, let me see, and in July letters started going out, and they were ignored. What was the rationale for just kind of ignoring the township and the violation letters until it looks like maybe October, November of this year?

MR. GINELLA: I can only speak on things from my behalf. I don't think it was any intent to ignore the township. I know I was out of the country for the better part of June and part of July. I was not able to -- I was overseas, so I was not able to get my documents and no one else could get those in my office. So when I got back, when I finally got the letter, I know the Rices were not also in the area, so when we finally started to get the information, I immediately contacted Mr. Vaccaro and spoke with him, and then immediately contacted Joni, even in getting back to

1 Joni, because I was away for so long, I even take. 2 you know, the responsibility of the fact that I 3 didn't get back to Joni initially. So it's not a matter of ignoring anyone. No disrespect. 4 5 it may seem that way, but no one was trying to 6 ignore anyone. 7 MR. MCDONNELL: And again, it's your 8 testimony that there is an automatic pool cover on 9 it? 10 MR. GINELLA: Absolutely. 11 MR. MCDONNELL: Is there a cover on top of 12 that automatic cover now; are you aware? 13 MR. GINELLA: I don't know if there's 14 another cover on top of the cover or not. I don't 15 know if there is or not. I don't believe so. 16 MR. MCDONNELL: Because when I went out to 17 take a look at it yesterday, that's not an 18 automatic pool cover. 19 MR. GINELLA: There probably is one on top 20 then, because I know there is -- that's an enclosed 21 keyed system. When you walked up, just so I know, 22 when you walked up to the pool, where you saw the 23 pool pump and everything, did you see the key pad? 24 MR. MCDONNELL: I didn't look for the key 25 I looked at the pool. I looked at the swing pad.

set next to it.

MR. GINELLA: Okay.

MR. MCDONNELL: And then I know you touched on it. What is, in your opinion, what is the practical difficulty that prevents you, either the trust or those installing a fence, to meet the regulation?

MR. GINELLA: The practical difficulties weren't that a fence is not needed. It's just what is a better securement of the pool. So the practical difficulty, just like when the township trustees changed that regulations, they thought that there was a practical difficulty. They recognized that there is a better system out there that's moving that way. This is just one of those better systems. So it wasn't a practical difficulty for the fence. Just like how you're indicating with regards to the securing of the pool, your regulations state basically it just needs to have a fence with a separate gate that locks. Who's to say that someone doesn't lock the gate, or doesn't latch the gate? And with regards to your fence requirement also, it doesn't say what type of fence is better for a pool area. It just says a fence for the intended purpose.

1

1 So you could do a split rail fence with a 2 chain link securement on the outside. Doesn't mean that it can't be climbed. Easily climbed. So 3 there's different variations here. And again, 4 5 we're looking specifically to this area where the 6 residence is at compared to a neighborhood area which are closer homes in the area. So that's why it's a case by case situation, given the factors of 8 9 this particular property. 10 MR. MCDONNELL: Thank you, Mr. Ginella. 11 MR. GINELLA: Thank you. 12 MR. MCDONNELL: That's all I have. 13 MR. SINGER: Any additional questions from 14 the board? All right. Anything else you'd like to 15 add? 16 MR. GINELLA: No. I'd be glad to answer 17 anything else. 18 MR. SINGER: Thank you. 19 MR. GINELLA: Thank you. 20 MR. SINGER: Is there anyone else that's 21 here to speak in favor of the appeal? By your 22 silence, I take that as a no. Is there anyone here 23 to speak in opposition to the appeal? By your 24 silence, I take that as a no. Mr. Ginella, you can

approach again if you'd like to add anything else,

but there is nothing, like I stated, in between, so if nothing additional, you can rest.

MR. GINELLA: I rest.

MR. SINGER: Mr. Ginella's selected to rest at this time. All right. With that, we will close the public input, and are there any questions for Joni, the zoning inspector? None, okay.

MR. SNYDER: No.

MR. SINGER: All right. So with that, we'll go ahead and discuss amongst ourselves.

MR. ALEXANDER: Okay. I'll start. You know, it's just a lot of reading here, and looking back at some other appeals and everything, and Ed touched on something right off the bat. The way I look at, there's a lot of problems with communication. One of the things I first see is if you pull the permit to dig a pool, and you put the pool in, then you pull a permit to do the fence, and I can't get past the part that we're okay with putting the fence in, but then we have some deer that jump over, and nobody said how tall the construction fence was, and we all know that construction fence is not going to be expensive or real secure or anything. It's simply very temporary. So we have some deer running around,

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

24

25

which is very common in the Jackson Township area. for sure. And then all of a sudden we just, there's no more fence. And I can't help but think, okay, at some point in time, did the Rices contact the township and say, Hey, we're not going to put that fence up. This is what we want to do. And I don't see anything where that happened. Many times trying to contact, I don't see anything where they notified Mr. Ginella that, Hey, we've got this letter here, what should we be doing about this? And it kind of seemed to kind of go on and on and on with no resolution whatsoever. It's kind of like their mind was made up, let's put the pool cover in and well, if somebody says something, then I guess we'll have to go to plan B. I mean, on paper it kind of looks that way to me.

There's a place close by, and I get that there can always be an argument for different things like that. In my lifetime I have seen a lot of pools that were close to lakes and things like that where that area's made them still put up a fence regardless, and there was like nothing around, but they had their zoning and that type of thing.

Nothing is 100%. This pool cover, be it

1 very expensive, obviously. It's got an eight-year warranty on it. Once that warranty, if a deer 2 3 jumps into it with its hoof, cut kit. I mean, I 4 guess I can use that as an argument. There's just 5 a lot of different things here that could happen. 6 You have to physically open and close that cover. 7 Yes, you have to physically open and close a gate. So there's always going to be that little bit of 8 9 contention in there. Weight rating, I'm sure it 10 meets whatever the ASTM requirements are, but my 11 thing is, if we start allowing different technology 12 to change things like this, and maybe it is better, 13 I'm not 100% sure that anything's 100%, but in my 14 mind, if we start changing that, set the 15 precedence, then everybody that says, I just don't 16 want a fence put around my pool, I want this, I 17 think we're going to cause more of an issue with 18 that. So those are kind of my feelings about it. 19 MR. SINGER: Thank you, Randy. 20 MR. MCDONNELL: Mr. Chair. 21 MR. SINGER: Yes, Ed. 22 MR. MCDONNELL: First of all, I concur with Mr. Alexander with regards to the automatic pool 23 24 versus the fence. The automatic pool cover. First

of all, there's nothing automatic. It requires

activation and requires intervention by an individual to close and to open. And I understand people can be very conscientious, but it does require, first of all, intervention, whereas a fence is, for the most part, is a passive system. It provides protection just because it's there. And as opposed to the entire pool being open, perhaps a gate might be left open, but, again, there are locking devices. As soon as you shut that gate, it automatically locks. There's that.

The other thing is, this particular variance is very significant. It's over 50%. The regulation talks about parcels greater than five acres. And I'm looking at section 401.12 subsection B4(c), For parcels five acres or greater. This parcel is 2.49, and they have the option to buy additional five acres, or 2.5. That's down the road. Who knows if that's ever going to happen? But again, this is significant. It's over 50%. And I will say the applicant did indicate one of the fallacies of that subsection, that 5 acre exemption, in the fact it does not address where the pool be placed. This pool will still be within 55 feet of a child's play set. And those are all contributing factors.

J

Again, I go back to section 803.5, subsection B, item 1, Whether special circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land or structure. There's nothing peculiar about that property. You may talk about deer out there, but I've got deer in my back yard and I'm a lot more populated than they are. There's deer all through Jackson Township. So protect deer or prevent deer, I don't buy that. And again, but there's nothing that is physically special about that piece of property.

whether a property will yield reasonable return. Of course, it will. It already has.

That's going to have reasonable return with a fence on it. In fact -- well, will have reasonable return. Whether the variance is substantial. It's over 50%, when you take a look at that. And whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered by or suffer substantial detriment as a result of the variance. I think it will. I think it's a safety factor for that situation without a fence there.

The applicant is correct, I don't see how it's going to adversely affect government services. Do special conditions or circumstances exist as a result of actions of the owner. Yes, they do.

They installed a pool and it's unsafe without the 1 2 fence, or requires a fence for the township to 3 ensure safety. Whether the property owner's 4 predicament feasibly can be obviated through some 5 method other than the variance. Yes, they can solve that same issue when they install a fence, 7 required by the township. Whether the spirit and 8 intent behind the zoning requirement would be 9 observed and substantial justice done by granting a 10 variance. I think just the opposite. It is not 11 being observed and it is in violation of the spirit of the resolution. And will confer on the 12 13 applicant any special privilege that is denied by 14 this regulation to other lands, structures, or 15 buildings. Of course it will. Everyone is required to put a fence up, unless you meet the two 16 17 exemptions in the previous subsection. 18 So overwhelmingly we've got 8 out of 9 that 19 they do not meet. I don't see any way that this 20 board member can vote for it. 21 Thanks, Ed. MR. SINGER: 22 MR. SNYDER: Jared. 23 MR. SINGER: Yes, Patrick. 24 MR. SNYDER: There was just two things

25

that Ed touched on with the practical difficulty.

There

There

Mr. G

a gas

fence

not t

prope

There's no practical difficulty proven by

Mr. Ginella for not putting the fence up. Is there
a gas line running through there stopping the
fence? No. Is there an easement? No. No reason
not to put a fence up. Nothing peculiar about the
property. So there's no practical difficulty
proven by his testimony or any exhibits.

Second thing that Randy touched on, setting a precedent. I don't think there's any reason to agree to this and set a precedent, whereas somebody in the future says, you know, I don't really care to put up a fence, for whatever reason they don't want to put it up, and then suddenly we allowed this and then we have future woes. So I agree with both Randy and Ed here.

MR. SINGER: Okay. Thanks, Patrick.

Anything else from the board?

MR. SNYDER: I should add, I appreciate that the cover is as good as it sounds like it is, but that's just an additional safety feature to the pool, you know, the zoning regulations state that a fence is required. So I appreciate that the pool cover is safe, but it's just an additional safety feature. The fence is the first layer of safety per the zoning code, you know.

1	MR. SINGER: Thanks, Patrick. I guess in
2	addition to everybody else's comments, which I tend
3	to agree, I think from a board member of the Zoning
4	Board of Appeals, we're here to interpret the
5	resolution, we're not here to change the
6	resolution. So I think the way that I interpret
7	the resolution based off of testimony tonight from
8	Mr. Ginella, I think I am not in favor of this
9	request for this variance. So that's my thought.
10	Any other comments?
11	All right. With that, do we have a motion
12	for appeal number 2023962?
13	MR. SNYDER: Motion to approve appeal
14	number 2023962.
15	MR. ALEXANDER: I'll second the motion.
16	MS. POINDEXTER: Mr. Snyder?
17	MR. SNYDER: No.
18	MS. POINDEXTER: Mr. Alexander?
19	MR. ALEXANDER: No.
20	MS. POINDEXTER: Mr. McDonnell?
21	MR. McDonnell: No.
22	MS. POINDEXTER: Ms. Busby?
23	MS. BUSBY: No.
24	MS. POINDEXTER: And Mr. Singer?
25	MR. SINGER: No. With that, Mr. Ginella,

1	if anyone impacted by the decision of the board
2	disagrees with the decision, they have a right to
3	appeal the decision within thirty days in the Court
4	of Common Pleas. All right.
5	
6	
7	
8	(Hearing adjourned at 6:34)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## 1 CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF OHIO ) 3 STARK COUNTY ) 4 I, Deanna Gleckler, a Registered 5 Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly 6 7 commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the within Hearing was by me reduced to Stenotypy and 9 afterwards transcribed upon a computer, and that the 10 foregoing is a true and correct transcription of the 11 Proceeding so given. 12 I do certify that this Hearing was taken at 13 the time and place in the foregoing caption specified. 14 do further certify that I am not a relative, counsel or 15 attorney of either party, or otherwise interested in the event of this action. 16 17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my 18 hand and affixed my seal of office at Salem, Ohio on this 9th day of January, 2024. 19 20 21 22 DEANNA GLECKLER, RPR-CRR, Notary Public My commission expires 1-6-25. 23 24 25